arXiv:hep-th/9212012v1 2 Dec 1992

A Self-Consistent Formulation of Quantum Field Theory on S_4.

B.A.Harris and G.C.Joshi

School of Physics, University Of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria 3052, Australia

Recent developments in quantum gravity suggest that wormholes may influence the observed values of the constants of nature. The Euclidean formulation of quantum gravity predicts that wormholes induce a probability distribution in the space of possible fundamental constants. This distribution may computed by evaluating the functional integral about the stationary points of the action. In particular, the effective action on a large spherical space may lead to the vanishing of the cosmological constant and possibly determine the values of other constants of nature. The ability to perform calculations involving interacting quantum fields, particularly non-Abelian models, on a four-sphere is vital if one is to investigate this possibility. In this paper we present a self-consistent formulation of field theory on a four-sphere using the angular momentum space representation of $SO(5)$. We give a review of field theory on a sphere and then show how a matrix element prescription in angular momentum space overcomes previous limitations in calculational techniques. The standard one-loop graphs of QED are given as examples.

I. INTRODUCTION

Recently it has been suggested [1] by Coleman that the effect of wormholes in euclidean quantum gravity is to modify or even determine the observed values of the fundamental constants. In this idea, the effects of wormholes can be described by as set of parameters $\{\alpha_i\}$ in which the values of constants such as the cosmololgical constant Λ, the gravitational constant G, and other couplings depend at the scale of wormhole physics, at or just below the Planck scale. Furthermore, Coleman has suggested a mechanism by which Λ is exactly zero and G assumes its minimum possible value. In the euclidean functional integral, it is possible [2] integrate out the contribution of wormhole configurations to give an integral over a distribution of a parameters. It is suggested that the dominant contribution comes from classical saddle points of the integral. The distribution is of the form

$$Q(\alpha) = e^{e^{-\Gamma_{eff}\{\alpha_i\}}} \tag{1}$$

For the action

$$\Gamma[g] = \int d^4x \, \left[\Lambda - \tfrac{R}{16\pi G} + aR_{\mu\nu\sigma\lambda}R^{\mu\nu\sigma\lambda} + bR_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu} + cR^2\right] , \tag{2}$$

with $\Lambda > 0$, the stationary point is a four-sphere of radius

$$a = \sqrt{\tfrac{3}{8G\Lambda}} \, , \tag{3}$$

with

$$\Gamma_{eff} = -\tfrac{3}{8G^2\Lambda} + \tfrac{8\pi^2 d}{3} \, , \tag{4}$$

where d is a linear combination of a,b and c. The double exponential makes the $\Lambda = 0$ surface in α-space overwhelmingly likely and the minimization of G and d on that surface should fix some or all of the other constants of nature. Since the higher derivative terms are related to the trace anomaly [3], there have been attempts [4,5] to use renormalization group techniques to relate the coupling constants of interacting field theories to the constant d. A consistent method to calculate amplitudes on S_4 is required, especially if the program is to be taken beyond one loop to investigate the effect of vacuum diagrams containing interactions. This paper contains a brief review of the formalism of field theory on S_4, and then describes a self consistent way to extract amplitudes of interest using angular momentum representations of $SO(5)$. The method presented here to compute one-loop Feynman diagrams, we believe, is a major improvement allowing amplitudes of greater complexity, such as those encountered in non-Abelian models, to be handled. While the previous results will be reproduced, the method is straightforward and removes the need to come up with specific tricks to handle individual calculations.

II. FIELD THEORY IN SPHERICAL SPACETIME

This review closely follows work published in a series of papers by Drummond and Shore [6,7,8,9] with slight changes of notation where convienient. The form of the action may be deduced by conformally mapping the flat euclidean four-space action to the surface of a hypersphere embedded in five dimensions. To do this it is necessary to introduce a fifth co-ordinate x_5 and a unit vector h such that the $x \cdot h = 0$ plane is identified with the original space. The conformal transformation

$$g_{ab} = \delta_{ab} \rightarrow \kappa^2 \delta_{ab}, \tag{5}$$

where

$$\kappa = 2/\left(1 - \tfrac{2x\cdot h}{a} + \tfrac{x^2}{a^2}\right), \tag{6}$$

maps the plane $x \cdot h = 0$ onto the sphere $r = a$. One now performs a general co-ordinate transformation to the flat five dimensional co-ordinates η given by

$$\eta^a = ah^a + \kappa\left(x^a - \tfrac{x^2}{a}h^a\right). \tag{7}$$

For scalar fields this process is straightforward, but for spin 1/2 and spin 1 fields it is more involved.

For fermions it is necessary to define a vierbein and a spin connection. These objects transform in the usual way under conformal and general co-ordinate transformations. The additional matrix γ_5 is needed but it can be shown that since γ matrices always contract with a spherical projection operator Q, there are effectively only four independent 'gamma' matrices. In addition, the combination $\gamma \cdot \eta / a$ effectively acts as γ_5, anticommuting with all of the Q-contracted gamma matrices. This justifies the mapping of the four component $SO(5)$ spinors to ordinary Dirac spinors on the surface, differing from the approach of Adler [10].

For a vector particle, a similar procedure with an additional A_5 field allows one to derive the spherical action and show that only four independent components (not counting gauge and dynamical constraints) survive.

Since dimensional regularization will be used throughout, the sphere will be n dimensional and the space indices will run from 1 to $(n+1)$ with $n = 4 - \varepsilon$.

At this point it is useful to define a some of the operators used on the sphere. The rotation generators

$$L_{ab} = \eta_a \partial_b - \eta_b \partial_a, \tag{8}$$

and

$$\tfrac{1}{2} L^2 = \tfrac{1}{2} L_{ab} L^{ab}, \tag{9}$$

define invariant derivatives on the sphere. The tangential projection operator

$$Q_{ab}(\eta) = \delta_{ab} - \tfrac{1}{a^2} \eta_a \eta_b, \tag{10}$$

enables one to define a tangential derivative

$$D_a = Q_{ab}(\eta) \partial^b = \tfrac{1}{a^2} \eta_b L^b{}_a, \tag{11}$$

which satisfies the identities

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_a D^a &= 0, \\ D^a \eta_a &= n, \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

and

$$D^2 = \tfrac{1}{2a^2} L^2. \tag{13}$$

III. CLASSICAL ACTIONS

The simplest example is the self interacting scalar field. The action [6] for this case is

$$S_E = \int d\sigma \, \left[-\tfrac{1}{2} \phi M \phi + V(\phi) \right], \tag{14}$$

where the kinetic operator

$$M = D^2 - \tfrac{1}{4a^2} n(n-2), \tag{15}$$

shows the correspondence between ∂ and D and also exhibits the conformal term $-\phi^2 R/6$.

For a free massless Dirac fermion the action is [7]

$$S_E = \int d\sigma \, \left[\bar{\psi} \gamma^a \left(D_a - \tfrac{n}{2a^2} \eta_a \right) \psi \right], \tag{16}$$

where the γ matrices satisfying

$$\left\{ \gamma^a, \gamma^b \right\} = 2\delta^{ab}, \tag{17}$$

$$\left\{ Q^{ab}(\eta)\gamma_b, Q^{cd}(\eta)\gamma_d \right\} = 2Q^{ac}(\eta), \tag{18}$$

and

$$\left\{ Q^{ab}(\eta)\gamma_b \, , \, \gamma \cdot \eta \right\} = 0. \tag{19}$$

Note that the term involving $\gamma \cdot \eta$ is necessary to ensure invariance of the action under

$$\psi \rightarrow e^{-i\theta(\gamma\cdot\eta/a)} \psi, \tag{20}$$

$$\bar{\psi} \rightarrow \bar{\psi} e^{-i\theta(\gamma\cdot\eta/a)}, \tag{21}$$

which is the spherical version of a chiral transformation.

The action for a massless gauge boson is [7]

$$S_E = \int d\sigma \, \left[\tfrac{1}{12a^2} F_{abc} F^{abc}\right], \tag{22}$$

where

$$F_{abc} = L_{ab} A_c + L_{bc} A_a + L_{ca} A_b. \tag{23}$$

Integrating by parts gives

$$S_E = \int d\sigma \, \left[-\tfrac{1}{2a^2} A^a P_{ab} A^b\right], \tag{24}$$

with P_{ab} given by

$$P_{ab} = \tfrac{1}{2} L^2 \delta_{ab} + L_a{}^c L_{cb} - (n-1) L_{ab}. \tag{25}$$

The spin 1 projection operator P_{ab} satisfies the following identities

$$D_a P^{ab} = P^{ab} D_b = \eta_a P^{ab} = P^{ab} \eta_b = 0, \tag{26}$$

$$P_{ab} L^b{}_c = L_a{}^b P_{bc} = P_{ac}, \tag{27}$$

$$P_{ab} P^b{}_c = N P_{ac} = P_{ac} N, \tag{28}$$

where

$$N = \tfrac{1}{2} L^2 - n + 2. \tag{29}$$

It turns out that Eq.(24) is the more useful version of the action when the theory is quantised.

Gauge invariant actions may be constructed through the substitutions

$$D^a \to D^a - ieQ^{ab}(\eta) A_b \tag{30}$$

or

$$L_{ab} \to L_{ab} - ie(\eta_a A_b - \eta_b A_a). \tag{31}$$

This allows one to write down the action for scalar and spinor electrodynamics

$$\begin{aligned} S_E = S_E^{free} + \int d\sigma \; \Big[& -ie\,\bar{\psi} Q^{ab}(\eta)\gamma_b \psi A_a \\ & + \tfrac{1}{a^2} ie\,\eta_a A_b (\phi^* L^{ab}\phi - L^{ab}\phi^* . \phi) \\ & + e^2\, Q_{ab}(\eta) A^a A^b \phi^* \phi \Big] , \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

which is invariant under the local transformation

$$\begin{aligned} \psi &\to e^{ie\Lambda(\eta)}\psi, \\ \phi &\to e^{ie\Lambda(\eta)}\phi, \\ A^a &\to A^a + D^a \Lambda(\eta). \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

There is an additional invariance of the vector particle action under

$$A^a \to A^a + \tfrac{1}{a^2}\eta^a \theta(\eta), \tag{34}$$

which reflects the fact that only tangential components of the vector field contribute to the action. The above procedure may be generalized to non- Abelian symmetries in the usual way. Finally, Yukawa and scalar self couplings have the same form as in flat space.

IV. QUANTIZATION

Quantization of the theory is achieved through the functional integral method. The simplest example is the interacting scalar field. Define the generating functional [6]

$$Z[J] = \int \mathcal{D}\phi \; \exp\left\{ -S_E + \int d\sigma \; J(\eta)\phi(\eta) \right\}, \tag{35}$$

where S_E is given by Eq.(14). This can be rewritten as

$$Z[J] = \exp\left\{ -\int d\sigma \, V\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta J(\eta)} \right) \right\} Z_0[J], \tag{36}$$

where

$$Z_0[J] = \int \mathcal{D}\phi \; \exp\left\{ \int d\sigma \; \left(\tfrac{1}{2}\phi M \phi + J\phi \right) \right\}, \tag{37}$$

Completing the square and performing the Gaussian integral gives

$$Z_0[J] = const.\,[det(-M)]^{-\frac{1}{2}} exp\left\{-\tfrac{1}{2}\int d\sigma\, d\sigma'\; J(\eta)M^{-1}(\eta,\eta')J(\eta')\right\}. \tag{38}$$

The propagator is defined by functional differentiation,

$$G(\eta,\eta') = \left.\frac{\delta^2 Z_0[J]}{\delta J(\eta)\delta J(\eta')}\right|_{J=0} = -M^{-1}(\eta,\eta'), \tag{39}$$

where M^{-1} may be expressed in either coordinate space form [6] or in the more useful angular momentum space representation.

Given a particular form of potential, for example,

$$V(\phi) = \tfrac{\lambda}{4!}\phi^4, \tag{40}$$

one can derive the Feynman rules in configuration space :

1. $G(\eta,\eta')$ for each boson line,
2. $-\lambda/4!$ for each vertex,
3. $\int d\sigma$ for each internal vertex,
4. standard symmetry factors,

from which amplitudes may be calculated.

The determinant factor in Eq.(38) deserves attention. In flat space, this factor is usually absorbed into the normalization, but in this case it depends on the radius of the four-sphere, a, and therefore the curvature of the spacetime. This factor contains the free field contribution of the scalar field to the trace anomaly. Analogous factors appear for fields of different spin.

The generating functional for fermions may be defined using anticommuting source terms [7]

$$Z_0[H,\bar{H}] = \int \mathcal{D}\psi\,\mathcal{D}\bar{\psi}\exp\left\{\int d\sigma\;\left[-\bar{\psi}\gamma^a\left(D_a - \tfrac{n}{2a^2}\eta_a\right)\psi + \bar{H}\psi + \bar{\psi}H\right]\right\}, \tag{41}$$

with the propagator given by

$$S(\eta,\eta') = \left.\frac{\delta^2 Z_0[H,\bar{H}]}{\delta\bar{H}(\eta). - \delta H(\eta')}\right|_{H=\bar{H}=0} = \left[\gamma\cdot\left(D - \tfrac{n}{2a^2}\eta\right)\right]^{-1}(\eta,\eta'). \tag{42}$$

Care is required for spin 1 fields due to the presence of gauge and radial degrees of freedom [8]. Consider the functional

$$Z_0[J_a] = \int \mathcal{D}A \ \exp\left\{\int d\sigma \ \left[\tfrac{1}{2a^2}A^a P_{ab} A^b + J_a A^a\right]\right\}, \tag{43}$$

due to the transformations of Eq.(33) and Eq.(34) this is ill defined and therefore requires a gauge fixing term. In order to motivate the choice of such a term, note that the identities Eq.(26), Eq.(27) and Eq.(28) imply that A^a may be written in the form

$$A^a = P^{ab}B_b + D^a\Lambda + \tfrac{1}{a^2}\eta^a\theta. \tag{44}$$

If a gauge fixing operator is chosen of the form

$$F^{ab} = P^{ab} - N\delta^{ab}, \tag{45}$$

then the result will vanish when acting on the transverse part $A_\perp^a \equiv P^{ab}B_b$, suggesting the gauge condition

$$F_{ab}A^b = 0. \tag{46}$$

Following the standard procedure we insert the operator

$$1 = \Delta(A)\int \mathcal{D}(\Lambda,\theta) \ \exp\left\{\int d\sigma \ \left[-\tfrac{1}{2a^2}\tfrac{1}{\alpha}A'_a F^{ab} A'_b\right]\right\}, \tag{47}$$

into Eq.(43) and factorize out the group integration. The invariance of the Jacobian factor $\Delta(A)$ allows one to evaluate the integral in Eq.(47) for A^a satisfying the condition Eq.(46) with the result

$$\Delta(A)^{-1} = \left[\det \tfrac{1}{a^2}\left(-\tfrac{1}{2}L^2\right)\right]^{-1}\left[\det \tfrac{1}{a^4}\left(-N + n - 4\right)\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{48}$$

The first factor is the usual Faddeev-Popov determinant while the second comes about from the need to remove the radial degree of freedom. The final version of the generating function is

$$Z_0[J^a] = \left[\det \tfrac{1}{a^2}\left(-\tfrac{1}{2}L^2\right)\right]\left[\det \tfrac{1}{a^4}\left(-N+n-4\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
$$\int \mathcal{D}A \ \exp\left\{\int d\sigma \ \left[\tfrac{1}{2a^2}A^a\left(P_{ab}-\tfrac{1}{\alpha}F_{ab}\right)A^b + J^aA_a\right]\right\}, \tag{49}$$

with the progagator given by

$$E^{ab}(\eta,\eta') = \left.\frac{\delta^2 Z_0[J^c]}{\delta J_a(\eta)\delta J_b(\eta')}\right|_{J_a=0} = \left[-\tfrac{1}{a^2}\left(P-\tfrac{1}{\alpha}F\right)\right]^{-1\,ab}(\eta,\eta'). \tag{50}$$

Putting this together, one can write down the rules for spinor electrodynamics

1. $S_{\alpha\beta}(\eta,\eta')$ for each fermion line directed from η' to η,
2. $E_{ab}(\eta,\eta')$ for each photon line connecting η' to η,
3. $ie\,Q_a{}^b(\eta)(\gamma_b)_{\alpha\beta}$ for each vertex with α outgoing and β incoming,
4. $\int d\sigma$ for each internal vertex,
5. (-1) for each fermion loop.

V. ONE-PARTICLE IRREDUCIBLE AMPLITUDES

It is convienient in this approach to work with the 1-particle irreducible functions which are generated by the effective action. To do this one must perform a Legendre transformation on the generating function. Considering the case of electrodynamics, the effective action is defined in Euclidean space by

$$-\Gamma[A^a,\bar{\psi},\psi] = G[J^a,\bar{H},H] - \int d\sigma\,[J\cdot A + \bar{H}\phi + \bar{\phi}H], \tag{51}$$

where

$$Z[J^a,\bar{H},H] = e^{G[J^a,\bar{H},H]}, \tag{52}$$

$$A_a(\eta) = \frac{\delta G}{\delta J^a(\eta)}, \quad \bar{\psi}(\eta) = \frac{\delta G}{-H(\eta)}, \quad \psi(\eta) = \frac{\delta G}{\delta \bar{H}(\eta)}, \tag{53}$$

and

$$J_a(\eta) = \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta A^a(\eta)}, \quad \bar{H}(\eta) = \frac{\delta\Gamma}{-\psi(\eta)}, \quad H(\eta) = \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta\bar{\psi}(\eta)}. \tag{54}$$

Since the generating function is invariant under a shift in the integration variables one can use standard [11] functional techniques to obtain the equations of motion and the Ward Identities for the Greens functions of the theory. These may be expressed in terms of the irreducible amplitudes through the Legendre transform. For the inverse photon propagator one obtains

$$\Gamma_{ab}(\eta,\eta') = -\tfrac{1}{a^2}\left(P_{ab} - \tfrac{1}{\alpha}F_{ab}\right)\delta(\eta-\eta') - \Pi_{ab}(\eta,\eta'), \tag{55}$$

where $\Pi_{ab}(\eta,\eta')$ is the sum of all two point photon irreducible diagrams with external propagators replaced by delta functions. Invariance under gauge and radial transformations leads to a set of Ward Identities

$$\eta_a\left[\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta A^a(\eta)} - \tfrac{1}{a^2}\tfrac{1}{\alpha}F^{ab}A_b\right] = 0 \tag{56}$$

and

$$D_a\left[\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta A^a(\eta)} - \tfrac{1}{a^2}\tfrac{1}{\alpha}F^{ab}A_b\right] = ie\left[\frac{\delta\Gamma}{-\psi(\eta)}\psi - \bar{\psi}\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta\bar{\psi}(\eta)}\right], \tag{57}$$

Differentiating once with respect to $A_b(\eta')$ and setting sources to zero gives

$$\eta_a\Pi^{ab}(\eta,\eta') = D_a\Pi^{ab}(\eta,\eta') = 0. \tag{58}$$

These identities imply that $\Pi_{ab}(\eta,\eta')$ is of the form

$$\Pi_{ab}(\eta,\eta') = P_{ab}\Pi(\eta,\eta'), \tag{59}$$

which is the spherical analogue of the transversity condition in flat space. Of course, these relations must be checked explicitly to ensure that no anomalous quantum effects violate the identities and destroy the renormalizability of the theory.

The fermion equation of motion similarly gives in terms of the inverse propagator

$$\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}(\eta,\eta') = \left[\gamma\cdot\left(D - \tfrac{n}{2a^2}\eta\right)\right]_{\alpha\beta}\delta(\eta-\eta') - \Sigma_{\alpha\beta}(\eta,\eta'), \tag{60}$$

where $\Sigma_{\alpha\beta}(\eta,\eta')$ is the sum of all irreducible, two point fermion diagrams.

The irreducible vertex function is simply

$$\Gamma^a_{\alpha\beta}(\eta_1,\eta_2,\eta) = -G^a_{\alpha\beta}(\eta_1,\eta_2,\eta)_{trunc.}, \tag{61}$$

the negative of the truncated three point function. The Ward Identities Eq.(56) and Eq.(57) then imply

$$\eta_a\Gamma^a_{\alpha\beta}(\eta_1,\eta_2,\eta) = 0, \tag{62}$$

and

$$D_a\Gamma^a_{\alpha\beta}(\eta_1,\eta_2,\eta) = ie\left[\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}(\eta_1,\eta)\delta(\eta-\eta_2) - \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}(\eta,\eta_2)\delta(\eta-\eta_1)\right]. \tag{63}$$

For the theory to be renormalizable, divergent parts of the amplitudes Eq.(55), Eq.(60) and Eq.(61) must be of the same form as the tree level functions. An amplitude must therefore be treated as a distribution and expanded in a series of operators acting on delta functions [12]. First consider the vacuum polarization Eq.(55), write

$$\Pi^{ab}(\eta,\eta') = \Big[A_0Q^{ab}(\eta) + A_1P^{ab}(\eta) + A_2F^{ab} + \ldots\Big]\,\delta(\eta-\eta'), \tag{64}$$

where we have allowed for the possibility of a mass term A_0 and a gauge fixing part A_2, which should be zero by the Ward Identities. One can, by various means, extract the values of the expansion coefficients. Contracting the indices gives

$$\Pi^a{}_a(\eta,\eta') = \Big[A_0n + A_1(n-1)(\tfrac{1}{2}L^2) + A_2\Big[(n+1)(n-2) - 2(\tfrac{1}{2}L^2)\Big] + \ldots\Big]\,\delta(\eta-\eta'), \tag{65}$$

and so

$$\int d\sigma\, d\sigma'\,\Pi^a{}_a(\eta,\eta') = a^n\Omega_{n+1}\left[A_0n + A_2(n+1)(n-2)\right], \tag{66}$$

with the derivative terms vanishing due to integration by parts. Similarly one can show that

$$\int d\sigma\, d\sigma'\,\tfrac{1}{2a^2}(\eta-\eta')^2\Pi^a{}_a(\eta,\eta') = a^n\Omega_{n+1}\left[A_1n(n-1) - 2A_2n\right] \tag{67}$$

and

$$\int d\sigma\, d\sigma'\, \tfrac{1}{a^2}\eta_a\eta_b\Pi^{ab}(\eta,\eta') = a^n\Omega_{n+1}A_2(n-2). \tag{68}$$

For the fermion self energy Eq.(60), $\Sigma_{\alpha\beta}(\eta,\eta')$ may be expanded in the form

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma_{\alpha\beta}(\eta,\eta') = \Big[&B_0(I) + \tfrac{1}{a^2}B_1(\gamma\cdot\eta) + B_2\gamma\cdot(D - \tfrac{n}{2a^2}\eta) \\ &+ B_3(\gamma\cdot\eta)(\gamma\cdot D)\ldots\big]_{\alpha\beta}\,\delta(\eta-\eta'),\end{aligned} \tag{69}$$

where the possiblity of mass and chiral terms has been considered. However, the only coefficient allowed to be divergent is B_2. To extract these constants one requires a set of gamma matrix identities and traces, these are given in Appendix(A). For reasons of notational clarity, traces over spinor indices will be denoted by $TR\{\ldots\}$. Using the results of Appendix(A) and integrating by parts when necessary one obtains

$$\int d\sigma\, d\sigma'\, TR\left\{\Sigma(\eta,\eta')\right\} = a^n\Omega_{n+1}2^{n/2}B_0, \tag{70}$$

$$\int d\sigma\, d\sigma'\, TR\left\{(\gamma\cdot\eta)\Sigma(\eta,\eta')\right\} = a^n\Omega_{n+1}2^{n/2}(B_1 - \tfrac{n}{2}B_2), \tag{71}$$

$$\int d\sigma\, d\sigma'\, TR\left\{(\gamma\cdot\eta')\Sigma(\eta,\eta')\right\} = a^n\Omega_{n+1}2^{n/2}(B_1 + \tfrac{n}{2}B_2), \tag{72}$$

and

$$\int d\sigma\, d\sigma'\, TR\left\{(\gamma\cdot\eta)(\gamma\cdot\eta')\Sigma(\eta,\eta')\right\} = a^n\Omega_{n+1}2^{n/2}(B_0 + nB_3). \tag{73}$$

The vertex function may be expanded in the same way and is left as an exercise.

VI. TRANSFORM SPACE REPRESENTATON

We have arrived at the central problem, the need for a consistent method of evaluating integrals of the form

$$X = \int d\sigma_1\, d\sigma_2 \ldots d\sigma_N f(\eta_1,\eta_2,\ldots,\eta_N), \tag{74}$$

where $f(\eta_1, \eta_2, \ldots, \eta_N)$ is a scalar function which may contain factors of η_i, propagators, vertex functions and derivatives. Unfortunately the configuration space methods of Drummond [12] fall down here because the photon propagator cannot be written in the form $|\eta - \eta'|^m$ in a general gauge. Also there is great difficulty when indices contract between integrals over different coordinates particularly when these involve derivative couplings. The solution is to go to angular momentum space and expand propagators in terms of spherical harmonics. The method here is applicable provided there are no more than two harmonics at the same point. However, this problem does not arise for the one-loop diagrams we consider here.

Consider the case of the scalar field. The appropriate basis functions are the scalar harmonics satisfying

$$(\tfrac{1}{2}L^2)Y_{lm}(\eta) = -l(l+n-1)Y_{lm}(\eta), \tag{75}$$

where $m = 1 \ldots dim(l,0)$, the dimension of the representation, given by

$$dim(l,0) = (2l+n-1)\ \frac{\Gamma(l+n-1)}{\Gamma(n)\Gamma(l+1)}. \tag{76}$$

Here we use (μ_1, μ_2) to label the represenation of $S0(5)$ in common with Refs. [8,9]. The harmonics satisfy the orthonormaliy and completeness conditions

$$\int d\sigma\ Y^*_{l'm'}(\eta)Y_{lm}(\eta) = \delta_{l,l'}\delta_{m,m'} \tag{77}$$

and

$$\sum_{lm} Y_{lm}(\eta)Y^*_{lm}(\eta') = \delta(\eta - \eta'). \tag{78}$$

Refering to Eq.(39), the operator M is diagonal in l-space and so using Eq.(75) and Eq.(78) one obtains

$$G(\eta,\eta') = \sum_{lm} \frac{a^2}{(l+\frac{n}{2})(l+\frac{n}{2}-1)} Y_{lm}(\eta)Y^*_{lm}(\eta'), \tag{79}$$

or

$$G(\eta,\eta') \equiv a^2 \sum_{lm} G(l)Y_{lm}(\eta)Y^*_{lm}(\eta'). \tag{80}$$

For the spinor field one requires a corresponding set of spinor harmonics. The tensor product of the spin $\frac{1}{2}$ representation and scalar harmonics, $(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2})\otimes(l,0)=(l+\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2})\oplus(l-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2})$ gives two inequivalent representations each with same $(\frac{1}{2}L^2)$ eigenvalue classified by their eigenvalue with respect to $(\Sigma\cdot L)$, where

$$\Sigma_{ab}=\tfrac{1}{4}\left[\gamma_a,\gamma_b\right], \tag{81}$$

are the spin $\frac{1}{2}$ rotation generators. We have

$$(\tfrac{1}{2}L^2)Y^{(\mu)}_{ls}(\eta)=-l(l+n-1)Y^{(\mu)}_{ls}(\eta), \tag{82}$$

where the label s spans the representation and the label (μ), defined to be $+\frac{1}{2}$ or $-\frac{1}{2}$, refers to the $(l+\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2})$ or the $(l-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2})$ representations respectively. The $(\Sigma\cdot L)$ eigenvalues are

$$(\Sigma\cdot L)Y^{(+\frac{1}{2})}_{ls}(\eta)=-lY^{(+\frac{1}{2})}_{ls}(\eta), \tag{83}$$

and

$$(\Sigma\cdot L)Y^{(-\frac{1}{2})}_{ls}(\eta)=(l+n-1)Y^{(-\frac{1}{2})}_{ls}(\eta). \tag{84}$$

The orthonormality and completeness conditions are

$$\int d\sigma\; Y^{\dagger\,(\mu')}_{l's'\alpha}(\eta)Y^{(\mu)}_{ls\alpha}(\eta)=\delta_{l',l}\delta_{s,s'}\delta_{\mu,\mu'}, \tag{85}$$

and

$$\sum_{l\mu s}Y^{(\mu)}_{ls\alpha}(\eta)Y^{\dagger\,(\mu)}_{ls\beta}(\eta')=\delta_{\alpha\beta}\delta(\eta-\eta'). \tag{86}$$

Keeping in mind that $(\gamma\cdot\eta)(\Sigma\cdot L)=a^2(\gamma\cdot D)$, Eq.(42), Eq.(82)…(86) and a little algebra give

$$\begin{aligned}S_{\alpha\beta}(\eta,\eta')=(\gamma\cdot\eta)_{\alpha\delta}\sum_{ls}\Bigg[&\frac{1}{(l+\frac{n}{2})}Y^{(+\frac{1}{2})}_{ls\delta}(\eta)Y^{\dagger\,(+\frac{1}{2})}_{ls\beta}(\eta')\\&-\frac{1}{(l+\frac{n}{2}-1)}Y^{(-\frac{1}{2})}_{ls\delta}(\eta)Y^{\dagger\,(-\frac{1}{2})}_{ls\beta}(\eta')\Bigg]\end{aligned} \tag{87}$$

which can be more compactly written as

$$S_{\alpha\beta}(\eta,\eta') \equiv (\gamma\cdot\eta)_{\alpha\delta}\sum_{l\mu s} S(l,\mu) Y^{(\mu)}_{ls\delta}(\eta) Y^{\dagger\,(\mu)}_{ls\beta}(\eta') \tag{88}$$

$$\equiv -\sum_{l\mu s} S(l,\mu) Y^{(\mu)}_{ls\alpha}(\eta) Y^{\dagger\,(\mu)}_{ls\delta}(\eta')(\gamma\cdot\eta')_{\delta\beta}. \tag{89}$$

For the vector case we get for the tensor product $(l,0)\otimes(1,0) = (l-1,0)\oplus(l,1)\oplus(l+1,0)$, three inequivalent representations which we will denote by $\lambda = -1, 0, +1$ respectively. The dimension of the representation $(l,1)$ is

$$dim(l,1) = (n-1)\frac{l(l+n-1)}{(l+1)(l+n-2)}\ \ (2l+n-1)\ \ \frac{\Gamma(l+n-1)}{\Gamma(n)\Gamma(l+1)}. \tag{90}$$

Then

$$(\tfrac{1}{2}L^2) Y^{(\lambda)a}_{lm}(\eta) = -l(l+n-1) Y^{(\lambda)a}_{lm}(\eta), \tag{91}$$

and each is and eigenfunction of $(\frac{1}{2}L\cdot S)_{ab} = L_{ab}$, where

$$(S_{ab})_{cd} = \delta_{ac}\delta_{bd} - \delta_{ad}\delta_{bc}, \tag{92}$$

are the spin 1 rotation generators. The eigenvalues are

$$L_{ab} Y^{(+1)b}_{lm}(\eta) = -l Y^{(+1)}_{lma}(\eta), \tag{93}$$

$$L_{ab} Y^{(0)b}_{lm}(\eta) = 1 Y^{(0)}_{lma}(\eta), \tag{94}$$

$$L_{ab} Y^{(-1)b}_{lm}(\eta) = (l+n-1) Y^{(-1)}_{lma}(\eta). \tag{95}$$

The orthonormality and completeness conditions are

$$\int d\sigma\, Y^{*(\lambda)}_{lma}(\eta) Y^{(\lambda')a}_{l'm'}(\eta) = \delta_{l,l'}\delta_{m,m'}\delta_{\lambda,\lambda'}, \tag{96}$$

and

$$\sum_{l\lambda m} Y^{(\lambda)}_{lma}(\eta) Y^{*(\lambda)}_{lmb}(\eta') = \delta_{ab}\delta(\eta-\eta'). \tag{97}$$

Clearly this procedure may be carried out for higher spin representations such as spin $\frac{3}{2}$ and spin 2.

The kinetic operators P_{ab} and F_{ab} for the vector field are diagonalized in this representation. P_{ab} has zero eigenvalue for $\lambda = -1$ or $+1$ and $-(l+1)(l+n-2)$ for $\lambda = 0$. F_{ab}

has zero eigenvalue for $\lambda = 0$ and $(l+1)(l+n-2)$ for $\lambda = -1$ or $+1$. This illustrates the utility of the choice of gauge, Eq.(46), and leads to the convenient form of the propagator

$$E_{ab}(\eta, \eta') = \alpha \sum_{l\lambda m} \frac{a^2}{(l+1)(l+n-2)} Y^{(\lambda)}_{lma}(\eta) Y^{*(\lambda)}_{lmb}(\eta') \\ + (1-\alpha) \sum_{lm} \frac{a^2}{(l+1)(l+n-2)} Y^{(0)}_{lma}(\eta) Y^{*(0)}_{lmb}(\eta'), \tag{98}$$

which again may be compactly written

$$E_{ab}(\eta, \eta') = \alpha\, a^2 \sum_{l\lambda m} E(l) Y^{(\lambda)}_{lma}(\eta) Y^{*(\lambda)}_{lmb}(\eta') \\ + (1-\alpha)\, a^2 \sum_{lm} E(l) Y^{(0)}_{lma}(\eta) Y^{*(0)}_{lmb}(\eta'). \tag{99}$$

VII. OPERATOR MATRIX ELEMENTS

We define the matrix element of an operator between two scalar harmonics as

$$\left(O^{(\cdots)}_{l',l}\right)_{m',m} \equiv \int d\sigma\, Y^*_{l'm'}(\eta) O^{(\cdots)}(\eta) Y_{lm}(\eta), \tag{100}$$

where $(\cdots)$ refers to any indices carried by the operator. The simplest example is that of L_{ab} , which commutes with $(\frac{1}{2}L^2)$, we define

$$\left(T_l{}^{ab}\right)_{m'\,m} \delta_{l,l'} = \int d\sigma\, Y^*_{l'm'}(\eta) L^{ab} Y_{lm}(\eta). \tag{101}$$

The matrix $\left(T_l{}^{ab}\right)_{m'\,m} \delta_{l,l'}$ is a square $dim(l,0) \otimes dim(l,0)$ matrix which satisfies the same commutation relations as L^{ab} . A list of useful commutation and other relations for both operators and matrix elements is given in Appendix(B). The next two operators which we must deal with are D_a and η_a . Using the commutation relations

$$\left[\tfrac{1}{2}L^2, \tfrac{1}{a^2}\eta_a\right] = -\tfrac{n}{a^2}\eta_a + 2D_a \tag{102}$$

and

$$\left[\tfrac{1}{2}L^2, D_a\right] = -\tfrac{2}{a^2}\eta_a(\tfrac{1}{2}L^2) + (n-2)D_a, \tag{103}$$

one is able to show that

$$\tfrac{1}{2}L^2\left(D_a+\tfrac{1}{a^2}l\,\eta_a\right)Y_{lm}(\eta)=-(l-1)(l+n-2)\left(D_a+\tfrac{1}{a^2}l\,\eta_a\right)Y_{lm}(\eta) \tag{104}$$

and

$$\tfrac{1}{2}L^2\left(D_a-\tfrac{1}{a^2}(l+n-1)\eta_a\right)Y_{lm}(\eta)=-(l+1)(l+n)\left(D_a-\tfrac{1}{a^2}(l+n-1)\eta_a\right)Y_{lm}(\eta). \tag{105}$$

The completeness relation Eq.(78) implies that

$$\left(D_a+\tfrac{1}{a^2}l\,\eta_a\right)Y_{lm}(\eta)\equiv\sum_{m'=1}^{dim(l-1,0)}(V_{l-1\ a})_{m'\,m}\,Y_{l-1,m'}(\eta) \tag{106}$$

and

$$\left(D_a-\tfrac{1}{a^2}(l+n-1)\eta_a\right)Y_{lm}(\eta)\equiv\sum_{m'=1}^{dim(l+1,0)}(U_{l+1\ a})_{m'\,m}\,Y_{l+1,m'}(\eta). \tag{107}$$

The matrix $(V_{l\ a})_{m'\,m}$ is $dim(l,0)\otimes dim(l+1,0)$ and $(U_{l\ a})_{m'\,m}$ is $dim(l,0)\otimes dim(l-1,0)$. By inverting the above one obtains

$$\int d\sigma\, Y^*_{l'm'}(\eta)\tfrac{1}{a^2}\eta_a Y_{lm}(\eta)=\frac{1}{(2l+n-1)}[(V_{l-1\ a})_{m'\,m}\,\delta_{l',l-1} - (U_{l+1\ a})_{m'\,m}\,\delta_{l',l+1}] \tag{108}$$

and

$$\int d\sigma\, Y^*_{l'm'}(\eta)D_a Y_{lm}(\eta)=\frac{1}{(2l+n-1)}[(l+n-1)\,(V_{l-1\ a})_{m'\,m}\,\delta_{l',l-1} + l\ (U_{l+1\ a})_{m'\,m}\,\delta_{l',l+1}]\,. \tag{109}$$

By further application of the operators in Eq.(106) and Eq.(107) it can be shown that

$$\sum_{m''=0}^{dim(l+1,0)}(V_{l\ a})_{m\,m''}\,(V_{l+1}{}^{a})_{m''\,m'}=\sum_{m''=0}^{dim(l-1,0)}(U_{l\ a})_{m\,m''}\,(U_{l-1}{}^{a})_{m''\,m'}=0, \tag{110}$$

$$\sum_{m''=0}^{dim(l+1,0)}(V_{l\ a})_{m\,m''}\,(U_{l+1}{}^{a})_{m''\,m'}=-\tfrac{1}{a^2}(l+n-1)(2l+n+1)\delta_{m,m'} \tag{111}$$

and

$$\sum_{m''=0}^{dim(l-1,0)} \left(U_{l\ a}\right)_{m\,m''} \left(V_{l-1}{}^{a}\right)_{m''\,m'} = -\tfrac{1}{a^2} l\,(2l+n-3)\delta_{m.m'} . \tag{112}$$

Integrating by parts leads to the conjugate relations

$$\begin{aligned}\left(U_{l\ a}\right)^{*}_{m'\,m} &= \int d\sigma\ \left(D_a - \tfrac{1}{a^2}(l+n-2)\eta_a\right) Y^{*}_{l-1,m}(\eta) Y_{lm'}(\eta)\\ &= \frac{(2l+n-3)}{(2l+n-1)} \left(V_{l-1}{}^{a}\right)_{m\,m'}\end{aligned} \tag{113}$$

and similarly

$$\left(V_{l\ a}\right)^{*}_{m'\,m} = -\frac{(2l+n+1)}{(2l+n-1)} \left(U_{l+1\ a}\right)_{m\,m'} . \tag{114}$$

Since these matrices may be non-square one must be careful to ensure that the multiplication is valid. Note that with these conventions, the l label implies the dimensionality of the left index.

In order to easily write down the matrix elements of the the commonly used operators D_a, η_a and Q_{ab} which do not commute with $\frac{1}{2}L^2$ some more notation is useful. Define a new index (σ) which indicates the shift in l eigenvalue between the left and right m indices. Therefore for some operator $O^{(\cdots)}(\eta)$ we have

$$\left(O_l^{(\sigma)(\cdots)}\right)_{mm'} \equiv \int d\sigma\, Y^{*}_{lm}(\eta) O^{(\cdots)}(\eta) Y_{l+\sigma\,m'}(\eta). \tag{115}$$

In particular, Eq.(108) and Eq.(109) imply that

$$\left(\eta_l^{(+1)}{}_{a}\right)_{mm'} = +a^2 \frac{1}{(2l+n+1)} \left(V_{l\ a}\right)_{m\,m'} , \tag{116}$$

$$\left(\eta_l^{(-1)}{}_{a}\right)_{mm'} = -a^2 \frac{1}{(2l+n-3)} \left(U_{l\ a}\right)_{m\,m'} , \tag{117}$$

$$\left(D_l^{(+1)}{}_{a}\right)_{mm'} = \frac{(l+n)}{(2l+n+1)} \left(V_{l\ a}\right)_{m\,m'} \tag{118}$$

and

$$\left(D_l^{(-1)}{}_{a}\right)_{mm'} = \frac{(l-1)}{(2l+n-3)} \left(U_{l\ a}\right)_{m\,m'} . \tag{119}$$

Henceforth, the m indices will be suppressed with the normal rules of matrix multiplication applying. Refering to Eq.(10) the above allows one to write down the matrix elements of $Q_{ab}(\eta)$

$$\begin{aligned}\left(Q_l^{(+2)}{}_{ab}\right) &= -\tfrac{1}{a^2}\left(\eta_l^{(+1)}{}_a\right)\left(\eta_{l+1}^{(+1)}{}_b\right)\\ &= -\frac{a^2}{(2l+n+1)(2l+n+3)}\left(V_{l\,a}\right)\left(V_{l+1\,b}\right),\end{aligned} \tag{120}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\left(Q_l^{(0)}{}_{ab}\right) &= \delta_{ab} - \tfrac{1}{a^2}\left(\eta_l^{(+1)}{}_a\right)\left(\eta_{l+1}^{(-1)}{}_b\right) - \tfrac{1}{a^2}\left(\eta_l^{(-1)}{}_a\right)\left(\eta_{l-1}^{(+1)}{}_b\right)\\ &= \delta_{ab} + \frac{a^2}{(2l+n+1)(2l+n-1)}\left(V_{l\,a}\right)\left(U_{l+1\,b}\right)\\ &\quad + \frac{a^2}{(2l+n-3)(2l+n-1)}\left(U_{l\,a}\right)\left(V_{l-1\,b}\right)\end{aligned} \tag{121}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\left(Q_l^{(-2)}{}_{ab}\right) &= -\tfrac{1}{a^2}\left(\eta_l^{(-1)}{}_a\right)\left(\eta_{l-1}^{(-1)}{}_b\right)\\ &= -\frac{a^2}{(2l+n-3)(2l+n-5)}\left(U_{l\,a}\right)\left(U_{l-1\,b}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{122}$$

VIII. MATRIX ELEMENTS OF HARMONICS AND THE PROJECTION OPERATOR THEOREM

Acting on the left of Eq.(106) and Eq.(107) with L_{ab} leads to the eigenvalue relations

$$\left(T_{l\,ab}\right)\left(V_l{}^b\right) = -l\left(V_{l\,a}\right), \tag{123}$$

and

$$\left(T_{l\,ab}\right)\left(U_l{}^b\right) = (l+n-1)\left(U_{l\,a}\right). \tag{124}$$

The eigenvalue relations Eq.(123) and Eq.(124) imply a connection with the vector harmonics and it can be shown that

$$Y_{lma}^{(+1)}(\eta) = N^+(l)\sum_{m'}^{dim(l,0)}\left(V_{l\,a}\right)_{m'\,m} Y_{lm'}(\eta), \tag{125}$$

$$Y^{(-1)}_{lma}(\eta) = N^{-}(l) \sum_{m'}^{dim(l,0)} \left(U_{l\ a}\right)_{m'\,m} Y_{lm'}(\eta), \tag{126}$$

where the normalization factors are

$$N^{+}(l) = \frac{a}{\sqrt{(l+1)(2l+n+1)}}, \tag{127}$$

$$N^{-}(l) = \frac{a}{\sqrt{(l+n-2)(2l+n-3)}}, \tag{128}$$

give a representation of the longitudinal vector harmonics. We define the matrix element of the transverse harmonic

$$\left(W_{l\ a}\right)_{m\,M} = \int d\sigma\, Y^{*}_{lm}(\eta) Y^{(0)}_{lMa}(\eta), \tag{129}$$

where the upper case M is used to indicate that the dimension is $dim(l,1)$ instead of $dim(l,0)$. The eigenvalue condition Eq.(94) gives

$$\left(T_{l\ ab}\right)\left(W_{l}{}^{b}\right) = 1\left(W_{l\ a}\right), \tag{130}$$

while the orthonormality condition Eq.(96) implies

$$\left(V_{l-1\ a}\right)\left(W_{l}{}^{a}\right) = 0, \tag{131}$$

$$\left(U_{l+1\ a}\right)\left(W_{l}{}^{a}\right) = 0, \tag{132}$$

$$\left(W_{l}{}^{a}\right)^{*}\left(W_{l}{}^{a}\right) = 1. \tag{133}$$

Note that since $\left(W_{l\ a}\right)_{m\,M}$ cannot be written as the matrix element of a local operator we have no way to relate it to its conjugate $\left(W_{l\ a}\right)^{*}_{M\,m}$.

For the spinor harmonics we define the elements

$$\left(\chi^{(\mu)}_{l}{}_{\alpha}\right)_{m\,s} = \int d\sigma\, Y^{*}_{lm}(\eta) Y^{(\mu)}_{ls\alpha}(\eta), \tag{134}$$

and the eigenvalue conditions Eq.(83) and Eq.(84) imply

$$\left(\Sigma \cdot T_{l}\right)\left(\chi^{(+\frac{1}{2})}_{l}\right) = -l\left(\chi^{(+\frac{1}{2})}_{l}\right), \tag{135}$$

$$\left(\Sigma \cdot T_{l}\right)\left(\chi^{(-\frac{1}{2})}_{l}\right) = (l+n-1)\left(\chi^{(-\frac{1}{2})}_{l}\right). \tag{136}$$

Again we have the case where $\left(\chi_l^{(\mu)}\right)_{m\,s}$ may not be written as the element of a local operator.

In order for these definitions to be useful we require something more. The key is that propagators contain harmonics in conjugate pairs allowing us to appeal to the completeness conditions. Consider a complete set of harmonics in some spin S representation of $SO(n+1)$. The completeness relations for these and the scalar harmonics imply that

$$\sum_{\lambda m'} Y^{(\lambda)}_{lm'[X]}(\eta) Y^{*(\lambda)}_{lm'[Y]}(\eta') = \delta_{[X],[Y]} \sum_{m} Y_{lm}(\eta) Y^{*}_{lm}(\eta'), \tag{137}$$

for each value of l, where $[X]$ refers to whatever indices are carried by the representation. Now the spin S representation is split into irreducible parts denoted by the label λ each with a different eigenvalue of $(L \cdot S)$ where the $S_{[X][Y]}$ operators are the spin S generators. By virtue of the eigenvalue conditions, we can form projection operators which project onto the subspace of a particular irreducible representation, we call this operator $P_l^{(\lambda)}{}_{[X],[Y]}(\eta)$. Acting on the left of Eq.(137) produces

$$\sum_{m'} Y^{(\lambda)}_{lm'[X]}(\eta) Y^{*(\lambda)}_{lm'[Y]}(\eta') = \sum_{m} P_l^{(\lambda)}{}_{[X],[Y]}(\eta) Y_{lm}(\eta) Y^{*}_{lm}(\eta'). \tag{138}$$

By multiplying by $Y_{lm'}(\eta')$, $Y^{*}_{lm}(\eta)$ and integrating over η and η' we obtain the final form of the Projection Operator Theorem

$$\left(V_l{}^{(\lambda)}_{[X]}\right)\left(V_l{}^{(\lambda)}_{[Y]}\right)^{*} = \left(P_l^{(\lambda)}{}_{[X],[Y]}\right), \tag{139}$$

where the matrices $\left(P_l^{(\lambda)}{}_{[X],[Y]}\right)$ are known combinations of $(T_{l\;ab})$ and $S_{[X][Y]}$.

For the case of spin $\frac{1}{2}$, using Eq.(83) and Eq.(84), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} P_l^{(+\frac{1}{2})}(\eta) &= \frac{1}{(2l+n-1)}\left[(l+n-1) - (\Sigma \cdot L)\right], \\ P_l^{(-\frac{1}{2})}(\eta) &= \frac{1}{(2l+n-1)}\left[l + (\Sigma \cdot L)\right], \end{aligned} \tag{140}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\chi_l^{(+\frac{1}{2})}\right)\left(\chi_l^{(+\frac{1}{2})}\right)^{*} &= \frac{1}{(2l+n-1)}\left[(l+n-1) - (\Sigma \cdot T_l)\right], \\ \left(\chi_l^{(-\frac{1}{2})}\right)\left(\chi_l^{(-\frac{1}{2})}\right)^{*} &= \frac{1}{(2l+n-1)}\left[l + (\Sigma \cdot T_l)\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{141}$$

Refering to Eq.(87), Eq.(89) and Eq.(140) one gets

$$S(\eta,\eta') = (\gamma\cdot\eta)\sum_{l\mu} S(l,\mu)P_l^{(\mu)}(\eta)\sum_m Y_{lm}(\eta)Y^*_{lm}(\eta') \tag{142}$$

but it is easy to show that

$$\sum_\mu S(l,\mu)P_l^{(\mu)}(\eta) = \Big[(\tfrac{n}{2}-1) - \Sigma\cdot L\Big]\, G(l) \tag{143}$$

where $G(l)$ is the l-space representation of the scalar propagator. This leads to the convienient form of the spinor propagator

$$\begin{aligned} S(\eta,\eta') &= \gamma\cdot\Big[\tfrac{1}{a^2}(\tfrac{n}{2}-1)\eta - D\Big]\, G(\eta,\eta') \\ &= (\gamma\cdot\eta)\sum_{lm} G(l)\,\Big[(\tfrac{n}{2}-1) - \Sigma\cdot L\Big]\, Y_{lm}(\eta)Y^*_{lm}(\eta'). \end{aligned} \tag{144}$$

For the vector case, the eigenvalue relations Eq.(93) . . . Eq.(95) allow one to write

$$\begin{aligned} P_l^{(+1)}{}_{ab}(\eta) &= \frac{1}{(l+1)(2l+n-1)}\left[L_{ac}-\delta_{ac}\right]\left[L^c{}_b-(l+n-1)\delta^c{}_b\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{(l+1)(2l+n-1)}\left[L_{ac}L^c{}_b-(l+n)L_{ab}+(l+n-1)\delta_{ab}\right] \end{aligned} \tag{145}$$

$$\begin{aligned} P_l^{(0)}{}_{ab}(\eta) &= -\frac{1}{(l+1)(l+n-2)}\left[L_{ac}+l\delta_{ac}\right]\left[L^c{}_b-(l+n-1)\delta^c{}_b\right] \\ &= -\frac{1}{(l+1)(l+n-2)}\left[L_{ac}L^c{}_b-(n-1)L_{ab}-l(l+n-1)\delta_{ab}\right] \end{aligned} \tag{146}$$

$$\begin{aligned} P_l^{(-1)}{}_{ab}(\eta) &= \frac{1}{(l+n-2)(2l+n-1)}\left[L_{ac}-\delta_{ac}\right]\left[L^c{}_b+l\delta^c{}_b\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{(l+n-2)(2l+n-1)}\left[L_{ac}L^c{}_b+(l-1)L_{ab}-l\delta_{ab}\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{147}$$

Note the relation between $P_l^{(0)}{}_{ab}(\eta)$ and the operator P_{ab} introduced earlier. Using Eq.(125), Eq.(126) and Eq.(129) together with the conjugate forms gives

$$\left(P_l^{(+1)}{}_{ab}\right) = \Big[N^+(l)\Big]^2 (V_{l\,a})\,(V_{l\,b})^* = -\frac{a^2}{(l+1)(2l+n-1)}\,(V_{l\,a})\,(U_{l+1\,b})\,, \tag{148}$$

$$\left(P_l^{(0)}{}_{ab}\right) = (W_{l\,a})\,(W_{l\,b})^*\,, \tag{149}$$

$$\left(P_l^{(-1)}{}_{ab}\right) = \Big[N^-(l)\Big]^2 (U_{l\,a})\,(U_{l\,b})^* = -\frac{a^2}{(l+n-2)(2l+n-1)}\,(U_{l\,a})\,(V_{l-1\,b})\,. \tag{150}$$

The vector propagator Eq.(99) may be rewritten using the $P_l^{(\lambda)}{}_{ab}(\eta)$ operators

$$\begin{aligned} E_{ab}(\eta,\eta') &= \alpha\, a^2 \sum_l E(l) \sum_\lambda P_l^{(\lambda)}{}_{ab}(\eta) \sum_m Y_{lm}(\eta) Y^*_{lm}(\eta') \\ &\qquad + (1-\alpha)\, a^2 \sum_l E(l) P_l^{(0)}{}_{ab}(\eta) Y_{lm}(\eta) Y^*_{lm}(\eta') \qquad (151) \\ &= \alpha\, a^2\, \delta_{ab} \sum_{lm} E(l) Y_{lm}(\eta) Y^*_{lm}(\eta') \\ &\qquad + (1-\alpha)\, a^2 \sum_{lm} E(l) P_l^{(0)}{}_{ab}(\eta) Y_{lm}(\eta) Y^*_{lm}(\eta'). \qquad (152) \end{aligned}$$

Since the action of projection operators can considerably simplify calculations it is useful to rewrite Eq.(121) using vector projection operators. Using the fact that $\delta_{ab} = \sum_\lambda \left(P_l^{(\lambda)}{}_{ab}\right)$ and Eq.(148) ... Eq.(150) one obtains

$$\left(Q_l^{(0)}{}_{ab}\right) = \left(P_l^{(0)}{}_{ab}\right) + \frac{(l+n)}{(2l+n+1)} \left(P_l^{(+1)}{}_{ab}\right) + \frac{(l-1)}{(2l+n-3)} \left(P_l^{(-1)}{}_{ab}\right). \qquad (153)$$

IX. ONE LOOP RENORMALISATION OF SPINOR QED

To see how all this works in practice we will compute the divergent parts of the vacuum polarization, spinor self-energy and the vertex function for spinor QED.

A. Vacuum Polarization

Using the rules in Section.(IV) one can write down the ampiltude to the vacuum polarization (FIG.1)

$$\Pi_{ab}(\eta,\eta') = (-1)(ie)^2(\mu)^{4-n} TR\left\{ Q_{ac}(\eta)\gamma^c S(\eta,\eta')\gamma_d Q^d{}_b(\eta') S(\eta',\eta) \right\}. \qquad (154)$$

In order to expand $\Pi_{ab}(\eta,\eta')$ as a distribution we refer to Eq.(64) ... Eq.(68) . The contraction of Eq.(154) with $\frac{1}{a^2}\eta^a\eta^b$ is zero due to the Q operators, implying that A_2 vanishes identically. Therefore, using Eq.(66) one has

$$A_0 = \frac{e^2(\mu)^{4-n}}{n a^n \Omega_{n+1}} \int d\sigma\, d\sigma'\, Q^a{}_c(\eta) Q^d{}_a(\eta') TR\left\{\gamma^c S(\eta,\eta')\gamma_d S(\eta',\eta)\right\}. \qquad (155)$$

Putting in the l-space form of the propagators and writing $(\gamma \cdot \eta) \equiv \not\eta$, this becomes

$$\begin{aligned} A_0 = \tfrac{e^2(\mu)^{4-n}}{na^n\Omega_{n+1}} & \sum_{lm}\sum_{l'm'} G(l)G(l') \int d\sigma\, d\sigma'\, Q^a{}_c(\eta) Q^d{}_a(\eta') \\ & .-TR\Big\{\gamma^c \Big[(\tfrac{n}{2}-1) - \Sigma\cdot L\Big] Y_{lm}(\eta) Y^*_{lm}(\eta')\not\eta'\gamma_d\not\eta' \\ & \Big[(\tfrac{n}{2}-1) - \Sigma\cdot L'\Big] Y_{l'm'}(\eta') Y^*_{l'm'}(\eta)\Big\}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{156}$$

Using the identities $\not\eta\gamma_a\not\eta = 2\eta_a\not\eta - a^2\gamma_a$ and $\eta_a Q^{ab}(\eta) = 0$ the above simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned} A_0 = \tfrac{e^2(\mu a)^{4-n}}{n\Omega_{n+1}a^2} & \sum_{lm}\sum_{l'm'} G(l)G(l') \\ & TR\Big\{\gamma^c \int d\sigma\, Y^*_{l'm'}(\eta) Q^a{}_c(\eta) \Big[(\tfrac{n}{2}-1) - \Sigma\cdot L\Big] Y_{lm}(\eta) \\ & \quad \gamma_d \int d\sigma'\, Y^*_{lm}(\eta') Q^d{}_a(\eta') \Big[(\tfrac{n}{2}-1) - \Sigma\cdot L'\Big] Y_{l'm'}(\eta')\Big\}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{157}$$

The matrix elements may be written down by simply replacing the η-space operators by their l-space counterparts with due care given to the orthonormality conditions of the harmonics. This means that the sum over m indices gives a trace and that the net change of l eigenvalue for the trace is zero. For the specific case above one gets

$$\begin{aligned} A_0 = \tfrac{e^2(\mu a)^{4-n}}{n\Omega_{n+1}a^2} & \sum_{l}\sum_{l'} G(l)G(l') \\ & \sum_{\delta,\delta'} tr\Big[TR\Big\{\gamma^c \Big(Q^{(\delta')}_{l'}{}^a{}_c\Big) \Big[(\tfrac{n}{2}-1) - (\Sigma\cdot T_l)\Big] \\ & \quad \gamma_d \Big(Q^{(\delta)}_{l}{}^d{}_a\Big) \Big[(\tfrac{n}{2}-1) - (\Sigma\cdot T_{l'})\Big]\Big\}\Big]\, \delta_{l,l'+\delta'}\delta_{l',l+\delta}, \end{aligned} \tag{158}$$

where $tr\{\ldots\}$ refers to the m trace. The condition on l values implies that the sum over (δ, δ') is only over the pairs which sum to zero. Performing the trace over gamma matrices, using the identities in Appendix(A), leads to

$$\begin{aligned} A_0 = \tfrac{2^{n/2}e^2(\mu a)^{4-n}}{n\Omega_{n+1}a^2} & \sum_{l}\sum_{l'} G(l)G(l') \\ & \sum_{\delta,\delta'} \Big[(\tfrac{n}{2}-1)^2 tr\Big\{\Big(Q^{(\delta)}_{l}{}^d{}_a\Big)\Big(Q^{(\delta')}_{l'}{}_d{}^a\Big)\Big\} \\ & \quad -(\tfrac{n}{2}-1) tr\Big\{\Big(Q^{(\delta)}_{l}{}^d{}_a\Big)\Big(Q^{(\delta')}_{l'}{}^{ca}\Big)(T_{l\,cd})\Big\} \\ & \quad -(\tfrac{n}{2}-1) tr\Big\{\Big(Q^{(\delta)}_{l}{}^d{}_a\Big)(T_{l'\,dc})\Big(Q^{(\delta')}_{l'}{}^{ca}\Big)\Big\} \\ & \quad +1 \quad tr\Big\{\Big(Q^{(\delta)}_{l}{}^d{}_a\Big)(T_{l'}{}^e{}_c)\Big(Q^{(\delta')}_{l'}{}^{ca}\Big)(T_{l\,ed}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&+\left(Q_l^{(\delta)\ d}{}_a\right)\left(T_{l'\ de}\right)\left(Q_{l'}^{(\delta')\ ca}\right)\left(T_{l\ c}{}^{e}\right)\\
&-\tfrac{1}{2}\left(Q_l^{(\delta)\ d}{}_a\right)\left(T_{l'\ ef}\right)\left(Q_{l'}^{(\delta')\ a}{}_d\right)\left(T_l{}^{ef}\right)\Big\}\Big]\,\delta_{l,l'+\delta'}\delta_{l',l+\delta},
\end{aligned}\tag{159}$$

which using the identities in Appendix(B) may be written in the factorized form

$$\begin{aligned}
A_0 = \tfrac{2^{n/2}e^2(\mu a)^{4-n}}{n\Omega_{n+1}a^2}&\sum_l\sum_{l'} G(l)G(l')\\
\sum_{\delta,\delta'} tr\Big\{&\left(Q_l^{(\delta)\ d}{}_a\right)\left[(\tfrac{n}{2}-1)\delta^a{}_c-(T_{l'}{}^a{}_c)\right]\\
&\cdot\left(Q_{l'}^{(\delta')\ c}{}_e\right)\left[(\tfrac{n}{2}-1)\delta^e{}_d-(T_l{}^e{}_d)\right]\\
&-(n-2)\left(\tfrac{1}{2}T_l^2\right)\delta_{\delta,0}\delta_{\delta',0}\Big\}\,\delta_{l,l'+\delta'}\delta_{l',l+\delta}.
\end{aligned}\tag{160}$$

Contracting the indices for each (δ,δ') using the definitions Eq.(120), Eq.(122) and Eq.(153) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
(0,0) \quad = \quad & (\tfrac{n}{2}-2)^2 tr\left\{\left(P_l^{(0)\ d}{}_a\right)\left(P_l^{(0)\ a}{}_d\right)\right\}\\
&+(l+\tfrac{n}{2}-1)^2\frac{(l+n)^2}{(2l+n+1)^2}tr\left\{\left(P_l^{(+1)\ d}{}_a\right)\left(P_l^{(+1)\ a}{}_d\right)\right\}\\
&+(l+\tfrac{n}{2})^2\frac{(l-1)^2}{(2l+n-3)^2}tr\left\{\left(P_l^{(-1)\ d}{}_a\right)\left(P_l^{(-1)\ a}{}_d\right)\right\}\\
&+(n-2)\,l(l+n-1)\,tr\{1\}\\
= \quad & (\tfrac{n}{2}-2)^2 dim(l,1)\\
&+(l+\tfrac{n}{2}-1)^2\frac{(l+n)^2}{(2l+n+1)^2}dim(l+1,0)\\
&+(l+\tfrac{n}{2})^2\frac{(l-1)^2}{(2l+n-3)^2}dim(l-1,0)\\
&+(n-2)\,l(l+n-1)dim(l,0),
\end{aligned}\tag{161}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(+2,-2) \quad &= \quad -(l+\tfrac{n}{2}+2)(l+\tfrac{n}{2}-1)tr\left\{\left(Q_l^{(+2)\ d}{}_a\right)\left(Q_{l+2}^{(-2)\ a}{}_d\right)\right\}\\
&= \quad -(l+\tfrac{n}{2}+2)(l+\tfrac{n}{2}-1)\frac{(l+1)(l+n)}{(2l+n+1)^2}tr\left\{\left(P_l^{(+1)\ d}{}_d\right)\right\}\\
&= \quad -(l+\tfrac{n}{2}+2)(l+\tfrac{n}{2}-1)\frac{(l+1)(l+n)}{(2l+n+1)^2}dim(l+1,0),
\end{aligned}\tag{162}$$

$$(-2,+2) \quad = \quad -(l+\tfrac{n}{2}-3)(l+\tfrac{n}{2})tr\left\{\left(Q_l^{(-2)\ d}{}_a\right)\left(Q_{l-2}^{(+2)\ a}{}_d\right)\right\}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= -(l+\tfrac{n}{2}-3)(l+\tfrac{n}{2})\frac{(l-1)(l+n-2)}{(2l+n-3)^2}tr\left\{\left(P_l^{(-1)\,d}{}_d\right)\right\} \\
&= -(l+\tfrac{n}{2}-3)(l+\tfrac{n}{2})\frac{(l-1)(l+n-2)}{(2l+n-3)^2}dim(l-1,0). \qquad (163)
\end{aligned}
$$

and including the l constraints, one gets

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_0 = \tfrac{2^{n/2}e^2(\mu a)^{4-n}}{n\Omega_{n+1}a^2}\sum_l \\
&\Big\{G(l)^2\,\Big[(\tfrac{n}{2}-2)^2 dim(l,1) \\
&\quad + (l+\tfrac{n}{2}-1)^2\frac{(l+n)^2}{(2l+n+1)^2}dim(l+1,0) \\
&\quad + (l+\tfrac{n}{2})^2\frac{(l-1)^2}{(2l+n-3)^2}dim(l-1,0) \\
&\quad + (n-2)\,l(l+n-1)dim(l,0)\Big] \\
&- G(l)\,G(l+2)\,(l+\tfrac{n}{2}+2)(l+\tfrac{n}{2}-1)\frac{(l+1)(l+n)}{(2l+n+1)^2}dim(l+1,0) \\
&- G(l)\,G(l-2)\,(l+\tfrac{n}{2}-3)(l+\tfrac{n}{2})\frac{(l-1)(l+n-2)}{(2l+n-3)^2}dim(l-1,0)\Big\}. \qquad (164)
\end{aligned}
$$

There are various ways [8,9] to evaluate the l-sums obtained in this way. The method used here is described in Appendix(C) with the $dim(l,0)$ term above used as an example. Briefly it involves expanding the terms of the sum in powers of l and extracting the divergence as the pole of the Riemann ζ-function. Shifting the sum where necessary, the expansions

$$
\frac{\Gamma(l-\varepsilon)}{\Gamma(l)} = l^{-\varepsilon}\{1+O(\varepsilon)\} \qquad (165)
$$

and

$$
(l+A)^{-N} = l^{-N}\left(1-\tfrac{N}{Al}+\cdots\right) \qquad (166)
$$

allow the sum to be expressed in the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
X(\varepsilon) &= \sum_l\sum_k a_k(\varepsilon)l^{-k-\varepsilon} \\
&= \sum_k a_k(\varepsilon)\zeta(k+\varepsilon). \qquad (167)
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking the limit $\varepsilon \to 0$ one obtains the pole term and a finite part if required. Since we are only concerned with the divergent part in what follows we have

$$\begin{aligned} X_{div} &= a_1(\varepsilon)\zeta(1+\varepsilon)|_{\varepsilon\approx 0} \\ &= a_1(0)\left(\tfrac{1}{\varepsilon}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{168}$$

Dropping the terms which are proportional to $(n-4)$ we have for A_0,

$$\begin{aligned} A_0 = {} & \tfrac{2^{n/2}e^2(\mu a)^{4-n}}{n\Omega_{n+1}a^2} \sum_l \\ & \left\{ \left[\frac{(l+n)}{(l+\frac{n}{2})^2} - \frac{(l+1)}{(l+\frac{n}{2})(l+\frac{n}{2}+1)} \right] \frac{(l+n)}{(2l+n+1)^2} dim(l+1,0) \right. \\ & + \left[\frac{(l-1)}{(l+\frac{n}{2}-1)^2} - \frac{(l+n-2)}{(l+\frac{n}{2}-1)(l+\frac{n}{2}-2)} \right] \frac{(l-1)}{(2l+n-3)^2} dim(l-1,0) \\ & \left. + (n-2) \frac{l(l+n-1)}{(l+\frac{n}{2})^2(l+\frac{n}{2}-1)^2} dim(l,0) \right\} \\ = {} & \tfrac{2^{n/2}e^2(\mu a)^{4-n}}{n\Omega_{n+1}a^2} \sum_l \\ & \left\{ \frac{n}{2} \frac{(l+n)}{(l+\frac{n}{2})^2(l+\frac{n}{2}+1)} \quad \frac{\Gamma(l+n)}{\Gamma(n)\Gamma(l+2)} \right. \\ & - \frac{n}{2} \frac{(l-1)}{(l+\frac{n}{2}-1)^2(l+\frac{n}{2}-2)} \quad \frac{\Gamma(l+n-2)}{\Gamma(n)\Gamma(l)} \\ & \left. + (n-2) \frac{l(l+n-1)}{(l+\frac{n}{2})^2(l+\frac{n}{2}-1)^2} \quad (2l+n-1) \ \frac{\Gamma(l+n-1)}{\Gamma(n)\Gamma(l+1)} \right\}, \end{aligned} \tag{169}$$

which after a little work gives

$$\begin{aligned} A_0 &= \tfrac{e^2}{16\pi^2 a^2} \sum_l \left\{ 2(l+2) - 2(l-2) + 2\frac{1}{(l+1)}(l-1)(l+2)(2l+1) \right\} l^{-1-\varepsilon} \\ &= \tfrac{e^2}{16\pi^2 a^2} \left\{ 4+4+2(-3-3+2) \right\} \tfrac{1}{\varepsilon} = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{170}$$

This result is gratifying as the Ward Identity Eq.(58) says that A_0 must be zero.

In order to calculate A_1 , note that since $\frac{1}{2a^2}(\eta-\eta')^2 = 1 - \frac{\eta\cdot\eta'}{a^2}$ and $A_0 = 0$ we can write from Eq.(67),

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= -\tfrac{1}{n(n-1)\Omega_{n+1}a^2} \int d\sigma\, d\sigma' \ \left(\tfrac{\eta\cdot\eta'}{a^2}\right) \Pi^a{}_a(\eta,\eta') \\ &= -\tfrac{e^2(\mu a)^{4-n}}{n(n-1)\Omega_{n+1}a^2} \tfrac{1}{a^2} \sum_{lm}\sum_{l'm'} G(l)G(l') \\ & \qquad TR\left\{ \gamma^c \int d\sigma\, Y^*_{l'm'}(\eta) Q^a{}_c(\eta)\eta^b \left[(\tfrac{n}{2}-1) - \Sigma\cdot L \right] Y_{lm}(\eta) \right. \\ & \qquad\qquad \left. \gamma_d \int d\sigma'\, Y^*_{lm}(\eta')\eta'_b Q^d{}_a(\eta') \left[(\tfrac{n}{2}-1) - \Sigma\cdot L' \right] Y_{l'm'}(\eta') \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= -\tfrac{e^2(\mu a)^{4-n}}{n(n-1)\Omega_{n+1}a^2}\tfrac{1}{a^2}\sum_{l}\sum_{l'} G(l)G(l') \\
&\quad \sum_{\delta,\delta'}\sum_{\sigma,\sigma'} tr\left[TR\left\{\gamma^c\left(Q^{(\delta')\ a}_{l'\ \ \ \ c}\right)\left(\eta^{(\sigma')\ \ b}_{l'+\delta'}\right)\left[(\tfrac{n}{2}-1)-(\Sigma\cdot T_l)\right]\right.\right. \\
&\qquad\qquad \left.\left.\gamma_d\left(\eta^{(\sigma)}_{l\ \ \ \ b}\right)\left(Q^{(\delta)\ \ d}_{l+\sigma\ \ a}\right)\left[(\tfrac{n}{2}-1)-(\Sigma\cdot T_{l'})\right]\right\}\right] \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad \delta_{l,l'+\sigma'+\delta'}\delta_{l',l+\sigma+\delta} \\
&= -\tfrac{e^2(\mu a)^{4-n}}{n(n-1)\Omega_{n+1}a^2}\tfrac{1}{a^2}\sum_{l}\sum_{l'} G(l)G(l') \\
&\quad \sum_{\delta,\delta'}\sum_{\sigma,\sigma'} tr\left\{\left(Q^{(\delta)\ \ d}_{l+\sigma\ \ a}\right)\left[(\tfrac{n}{2}-1)\delta^a{}_c-(T_{l'}{}^a{}_c)\right]\left(Q^{(\delta')\ c}_{l'\ \ \ \ e}\right)\right. \\
&\qquad\qquad \cdot\left(\eta^{(\sigma')\ \ b}_{l'+\delta'}\right)\left[(\tfrac{n}{2}-1)\delta^e{}_d-(T_l{}^e{}_d)\right]\left(\eta^{(\sigma)\ b}_{l}\right) \\
&\qquad\qquad \left.-\tfrac{1}{2}(n-2)\left(T_{l'\ cd}\right)\left(\eta^{(\sigma')\ b}_{l'}\right)\left(T_l{}^{cd}\right)\left(\eta^{(\sigma)}_{l\ \ \ \ b}\right)\delta_{\delta,0}\delta_{\delta',0}\right\} \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad \delta_{l,l'+\sigma'+\delta'}\delta_{l',l+\sigma+\delta}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{171}
$$

Refering to the indentities in Appendix(B) , it can be seen that the non-zero values for (σ,σ') are $(+1,-1)$ and $(-1,+1)$. Contracting the indices for the two cases respectively we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_1 &= -\tfrac{e^2(\mu a)^{4-n}}{n(n-1)\Omega_{n+1}a^2}\sum_{l}\sum_{l'} G(l)G(l') \\
&\left[\frac{1}{(2l+n+1)}\sum_{\delta,\delta'} tr\left\{\left(Q^{(\delta)\ \ d}_{l+1\ \ a}\right)\left[(\tfrac{n}{2}-1)\delta^a{}_c-(T_{l'}{}^a{}_c)\right]\right.\right. \\
&\qquad\qquad \left(Q^{(\delta')\ c}_{l'\ \ \ \ e}\right)\left[(\tfrac{n}{2}-1)(l+1)\delta^e{}_d-(l)\left(T_{l+1}{}^e{}_d\right)\right] \\
&\qquad\qquad \left.-(n-2)(l)\left(\tfrac{1}{2}T^2_{l+1}\right)\delta_{\delta,0}\delta_{\delta',0}\right\}\delta_{l',l+\delta+1} \\
&\quad +\frac{1}{(2l+n-3)}\sum_{\delta,\delta'} tr\left\{\left(Q^{(\delta)\ \ d}_{l-1\ \ a}\right)\left[(\tfrac{n}{2}-1)\delta^a{}_c-(T_{l'}{}^a{}_c)\right]\right. \\
&\qquad\qquad \left(Q^{(\delta')\ c}_{l'\ \ \ \ e}\right)\left[(\tfrac{n}{2}-1)(l+n-2)\delta^e{}_d-(l+n-1)\left(T_{l-1}{}^e{}_d\right)\right] \\
&\qquad\qquad \left.\left.-(n-2)(l+n-1)\left(\tfrac{1}{2}T^2_{l-1}\right)\delta_{\delta,0}\delta_{\delta',0}\right\}\delta_{l',l+\delta-1}\right].
\end{aligned}
\tag{172}
$$

The above may be evaluated in the same way as for A_0 , and after some work the result

$$
A_1 = \tfrac{e^2}{12\pi^2a^2}\left(\tfrac{2}{\varepsilon}\right)+O(1)
\tag{173}
$$

is obtained. Therefore we have

$$\Pi^{ab}(\eta,\eta') = \tfrac{e^2}{12\pi^2 a^2}\left(\tfrac{2}{\varepsilon}\right) P^{ab}(\eta)\delta(\eta-\eta') + O(1) \tag{174}$$

and so the addition of the counterterm

$$\delta S_E = \int d\sigma\ \left[\tfrac{e^2}{12\pi^2}\left(\tfrac{2}{\varepsilon}\right)\right] \tfrac{1}{2a^2} A_a P^{ab} A_b \tag{175}$$

is required to cancel the divergence. This gives to this order

$$Z_3 = 1 - \tfrac{e^2}{12\pi^2}\left(\tfrac{2}{\varepsilon}\right) \tag{176}$$

for the photon wave function renormalization constant which is identical to that for flat space, as expected.

B. Fermion Self Energy

The fermion self-energy, (FIG.2) is given by

$$\Sigma(\eta,\eta') = (ie)^2\mu^{4-n} Q^{ac}(\eta)\gamma_c S(\eta,\eta') Q^{bd}(\eta')\gamma_d E_{ba}(\eta',\eta). \tag{177}$$

We will first evaluate B_0 using Eq.(69) and Eq.(70) ,

$$\begin{aligned}
B_0 &= \tfrac{1}{2^{n/2}a^n\Omega_{n+1}} \int d\sigma\, d\sigma'\, TR\left\{\Sigma(\eta,\eta')\right\} \\
&= -\tfrac{e^2(\mu a)^{4-n}}{2^{n/2}\Omega_{n+1}} \tfrac{1}{a^2} \sum_{lm}\sum_{l'm'} G(l)E(l') \\
&\quad TR\Big\{\int d\sigma\, Y^*_{l'm'}(\eta) Q^{ac}(\eta)\gamma_c \not\eta \left[(\tfrac{n}{2}-1) - \Sigma\cdot L\right] Y_{lm}(\eta) \\
&\quad \int d\sigma'\, Y^*_{lm}(\eta') Q^{bd}(\eta')\gamma_d \left[\alpha\delta_{ba} + (1-\alpha) P^{(0)}_{l'}{}_{ba}(\eta')\right] Y_{l'm'}(\eta')\Big\} \\
&= 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{178}$$

since there is no way to connect l-eigenvalues as $\delta l =$ odd for the first integral and $\delta l =$ even for the second. Note, we cannot use the property $TR\{odd\,\#\,\gamma's\} = 0$ here as the trace of 5 γ matrices is non-zero.

For the next term we use Eq.(71)

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_1 - \tfrac{n}{2}B_2 &= \tfrac{1}{2^{n/2}a^n\Omega_{n+1}} \int d\sigma\, d\sigma'\, TR\left\{ \not\eta\Sigma(\eta,\eta')\right\} \\
&= \tfrac{e^2(\mu a)^{4-n}}{2^{n/2}\Omega_{n+1}} \sum_{lm}\sum_{l'm'} G(l)E(l') \\
&\quad TR\Big\{ \int d\sigma\, Y^*_{l'm'}(\eta) Q^{ac}(\eta)\gamma_c \left[(\tfrac{n}{2}-1) - \Sigma\cdot L\right] Y_{lm}(\eta) \\
&\quad \int d\sigma'\, Y^*_{lm}(\eta') Q^{bd}(\eta')\gamma_d \left[\alpha\delta_{ba} + (1-\alpha)P^{(0)}_{l'}{}_{ba}(\eta')\right] Y_{l'm'}(\eta') \Big\}, \qquad (179)
\end{aligned}
$$

performing the spinor trace and going to l-space we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_1 - \tfrac{n}{2}B_2 = \tfrac{e^2(\mu a)^{4-n}}{\Omega_{n+1}} &\sum_{l,l'} G(l)E(l') \\
&\sum_{\delta,\delta'} tr\left\{ \left(Q_{l'}^{(\delta')\,ac}\right) \left[(\tfrac{n}{2}-1)\delta^c{}_d - (T_l{}^c{}_d)\right] \right. \\
&\left. \left(Q_l^{(\delta)\,db}\right) \left[\alpha\delta_{ba} + (1-\alpha)\left(P^{(0)}_{l'}{}_{ba}\right)\right]\right\}. \qquad (180)
\end{aligned}
$$

The operator $\left(P^{(0)}_{l'}{}_{ba}\right)$ projects out all but the $\left(P^{(0)}_{l}\right)$ parts of the Q matrices and the eigenvalue of $[(\frac{n}{2}-1)-T]$ is $(\frac{n}{2}-2)$ on this subspace. Therefore we see immediately that the $(1-\alpha)$ term is finite. We now have

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_1 - \tfrac{n}{2}B_2 = \tfrac{e^2(\mu a)^{4-n}}{\Omega_{n+1}}\alpha &\sum_{l,l'} G(l)E(l') \\
&\sum_{\delta,\delta'} tr\left\{ \left(Q_{l'}^{(\delta')\,ac}\right) \left[(\tfrac{n}{2}-1)\delta^c{}_d - (T_l{}^c{}_d)\right] \left(Q_l^{(\delta)\,d}{}_a\right)\right\} \\
&\delta_{l',l+\delta}\delta_{l,l'+\delta'}, \qquad (181)
\end{aligned}
$$

which may be summed in the usual way giving

$$
B_1 - 2B_2 = \tfrac{e^2}{8\pi^2}\alpha\left(\tfrac{2}{\varepsilon}\right). \qquad (182)
$$

By rearranging the propagator $S(\eta,\eta')$ using Eq.(89) and Eq.(71) one can show that to this order

$$
B_1 + \tfrac{n}{2}B_2 = -(B_1 - \tfrac{n}{2}B_2) \qquad (183)
$$

and so

$$
B_1 = 0 \qquad (184)
$$

and

$$B_2 = -\tfrac{e^2}{16\pi^2}\alpha\left(\tfrac{2}{\varepsilon}\right). \tag{185}$$

Therefore we have

$$\Sigma(\eta,\eta') = -\tfrac{e^2}{16\pi^2}\alpha\left(\tfrac{2}{\varepsilon}\right)\left(\not{D} - \tfrac{n}{2a^2}\not{\eta}\right)\delta(\eta-\eta') \tag{186}$$

which is cancelled by the counterterm

$$\delta S_E = -\int d\sigma\ \left[\tfrac{e^2}{16\pi^2}\alpha\left(\tfrac{2}{\varepsilon}\right)\right]\bar{\psi}\left(\not{D} - \tfrac{n}{2a^2}\not{\eta}\right)\psi \tag{187}$$

and so

$$Z_2 = 1 - \tfrac{e^2}{16\pi^2}\alpha\left(\tfrac{2}{\varepsilon}\right). \tag{188}$$

C. Vertex Function

The irreducible vertex function may also be expanded as a distribution, but we will consider only the divergent part

$$\Gamma^a(\eta_1,\eta_2,\eta) = -ie(\mu)\,C_0 Q^a{}_b(\eta)\gamma_b\delta(\eta-\eta_1)\delta(\eta-\eta_2) + \cdots \tag{189}$$

and so

$$C_0 = \left[-ie(\mu)\,2^{n/2}na^n\Omega_{n+1}\right]^{-1}\int d\sigma_1 d\sigma_2 d\sigma TR\left\{\Gamma^a(\eta_1,\eta_2,\eta)\gamma_a\right\}. \tag{190}$$

To this order we have (FIG.3)

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma^a(\eta_1,\eta_2,\eta) = (-1)[ie(\mu)]^3 Q_{bd}(\eta_1)\gamma^d S(\eta_1,\eta)Q^a{}_e(\eta)\gamma^e \\ S(\eta,\eta_2)Q_{cf}(\eta_2)\gamma^f E^{cb}(\eta_2,\eta_1),\end{aligned} \tag{191}$$

and therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_0 = -\tfrac{e^2(\mu a)^{4-n}}{2^{n/2} n\Omega_{n+1}} & \sum_{lm}\sum_{l'm'}\sum_{l'',m''} G(l)\, G(l')\, E(l'') \\
& TR\Big\{ \int d\sigma_1\, Y^*_{l''m''}(\eta_1) Q_{bd}(\eta_1)\gamma^d \left[(\tfrac{n}{2}-1) - \Sigma\cdot L_1\right] Y_{lm}(\eta_1) \\
& \int d\sigma\, Y^*_{lm}(\eta) Q^a{}_e(\eta)\gamma^e \left[(\tfrac{n}{2}-1) - \Sigma\cdot L\right] Y_{l'm'}(\eta) \\
& \int d\sigma_2\, Y^*_{l'm'}(\eta_2) Q_{cf}(\eta_2) \left[\alpha\delta^{cb} + (1-\alpha) P^{(0)\ cb}_{l''}(\eta_2)\right] Y_{l''m''}(\eta_2)\Big\}
\end{aligned}
\tag{192}
$$

where we have again anticommuted the $\not\eta$ factors from the spinor propagators through the γ^e matrix discarding the term proportional to η^e . Going to l-space and evaluating the trace we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_0 = -\tfrac{e^2(\mu a)^{4-n}}{n\Omega_{n+1}} & \sum_{l,l',l''} G(l)\, G(l')\, E(l'') \\
& \sum_{\delta,\delta',\delta''} tr\left\{ \left(Q^{(\delta'')}_{l''}{}_{bd}\right) \left[(\tfrac{n}{2}-1)\delta^d{}_e - \left(T_l{}^d{}_e\right)\right] \right. \\
& \quad \left[2\left(Q^{(\delta)}_l{}^e{}_g\right) - n\delta^e{}_g \delta_{\delta,0}\right] \left[(\tfrac{n}{2}-1)\delta^g{}_f - \left(T_{l'}{}^g{}_f\right)\right] \\
& \quad \left. \left(Q^{(\delta')}_{l'}{}^f{}_c\right) \left[\alpha\delta^{cb} + (1-\alpha)\left(P^{(0)\ cb}_{l''}\right)\right]\right\} \\
& \qquad \delta_{l,l''+\delta''}\delta_{l',l+\delta}\delta_{l'',l'+\delta'}
\end{aligned}
\tag{193}
$$

where terms of $O(n-4)$ have been neglected. Note again that the $(1-\alpha)$ term is also finite due to the $\left(P^{(0)\ cb}_{l''}\right)$ operator .

This sum may be evaluated to give

$$
C_0 = \tfrac{e^2}{16\pi^2}\alpha\left(\tfrac{2}{\varepsilon}\right), \tag{194}
$$

and so

$$
\Gamma^a(\eta_1,\eta_2,\eta) = -ie(\mu)\ \left[\tfrac{e^2}{16\pi^2}\alpha\left(\tfrac{2}{\varepsilon}\right)\right] Q^a{}_b(\eta)\gamma_b\delta(\eta-\eta_1)\delta(\eta-\eta_2) + \cdots . \tag{195}
$$

This divergence is removed by the counterterm

$$
\delta S_E = \int d\sigma\ + ie(\mu)\left[\tfrac{e^2}{16\pi^2}\alpha\left(\tfrac{2}{\varepsilon}\right)\right] \bar\psi Q^a{}_b(\eta)\gamma_b\psi A_a, \tag{196}
$$

and hence

$$
Z_1 = 1 - \tfrac{e^2}{16\pi^2}\alpha\left(\tfrac{2}{\varepsilon}\right). \tag{197}
$$

This gives the result $Z_1 = Z_2$ which is required by the Ward Identity Eq.(63) .

X. DISCUSSION

In this paper, we have constructed a consistent formulation of field theory on S_4 and described a method from which various one-loop amplitudes may be calculated. The above results were presented as an illustration of how calculations may be carried out using this method. The standard results of QED are derived, providing a valuable check on the validity of this approach, as well as allowing a direct comparision between this and previous work done in the area. While much of the basic formalism has been derived previously [6,7,8,9] , we believe that the matrix element approach offers a new way to deal with some of the more troublesome aspects of previous calculations. In particular one can easily handle the transverse part of the photon propagator which had made the $(1-\alpha)$ gauge parts difficult to calculate. However the main advantage of this method is the ability to compute functions which involve the contraction of indices across different η integrals. This tends to happen when one has derivative couplings such as those in scalar electrodynamics. To be more concrete, consider the amplitude

$$X = \int d\sigma\, d\sigma'\, D_a G(\eta,\eta')\, D'^a G(\eta',\eta) \tag{198}$$

which can arise in a theory with scalar loops [9]. The brute force approach is to write down the propagators in configuration space, perform the derivatives and try do the integrals obtained using Geigenbauer polynomials and the like. While this approach will work here, it is cumbersome and relies heavily on the ability to write down the configuration space form of the propagators. When we come to a non-Abelian theory, we encounter for example, the gluon three-point function. This function involves derivative couplings which act on vector propagators which hook onto the vertex. Any calculation involving this vertex, such as the vacuum polarization, will be difficult to calculate even in the Feynman gauge using configuration space methods, whereas if one follows the matrix element prescription the result can be obtained for a general gauge in a lengthy, but straightforward way.

This calculational scheme provides all the tools necessary to evaluate matrix elements of operators between two spherical harmonics. For one-loop diagrams this is all that is required.

However in a two-loop amplitude such as an interacting vacuum diagram, one may have three or more harmonics at the same point. In this case one must perform a Clebsch-Gordon decomposition of the product in order to reduce the integral to one over only two harmonics. This is technically difficult in general, but for a special class of propagator functions of the form $|\eta - \eta'|^m$ it is possible and the method will be described in a forthcoming paper.

It may be useful to incorporate chiral fermions into the theory by appropriate inclusion of the $\not{n}$ operator, bearing in mind that one will need to be careful with the dimensional regularization when the trace of five γ matrices in encountered.

The main application of this work is that is allows one to take any sensible model one wishes and work out all the relevant renormalisation group equations connecting the coupling constants to the parameter d in the effective action Eq.(4). By considering the constraints imposed by the wormhole hypothesis, these equations may provide information on the observed values of the constants of nature.

APPENDIX A: GAMMA MATRIX IDENTITIES IN $SO(N+1)$

$$\{\gamma_a, \gamma_b\} = 2\delta_{ab} \tag{A1}$$

$$\gamma_a^\dagger = \gamma_a \tag{A2}$$

$$\Sigma_{ab} = \tfrac{1}{4}\left[\gamma_a, \gamma_b\right] \tag{A3}$$

$$\gamma_a \gamma^a = (n+1) I \tag{A4}$$

$$TR\left\{I\right\} = 2^{n/2} \tag{A5}$$

$$TR\left\{odd\ \# \ < 5\right\} = 0 \tag{A6}$$

$$TR\left\{\gamma_a\gamma_b\gamma_c\gamma_d\gamma_e\right\} = 4\epsilon_{abcde} \quad for\ n = 4 \tag{A7}$$

$$TR\left\{\gamma_a\gamma_b\right\} = 2^{n/2}\delta_{ab} \tag{A8}$$

$$TR\left\{\gamma_a\gamma_b\gamma_c\gamma_d\right\} = 2^{n/2}\left(\delta_{ab}\delta_{cd} - \delta_{ac}\delta_{bd} + \delta_{ad}\delta_{bc}\right) \tag{A9}$$

APPENDIX B: OPERATOR AND MATRIX ELEMENT IDENTITIES

1. Commutators

$$\left[\tfrac{1}{2}L^2, L_{ab}\right] = 0 \tag{B1}$$

$$\left[\tfrac{1}{2}L^2, \eta_a\right] = -n\eta_a + 2a^2 D_a \tag{B2}$$

$$\left[\tfrac{1}{2}L^2, D_a\right] = -\tfrac{2}{a^2}\eta_a(\tfrac{1}{2}L^2) + (n-2)D_a \tag{B3}$$

$$[L_{ab}, L_{cd}] = \delta_{cb}L_{ad} - \delta_{ca}L_{bd} + \delta_{bd}L_{ca} - \delta_{ad}L_{cb} \tag{B4}$$

$$[L_{ab}, Q_{cd}] = \delta_{cb}Q_{ad} - \delta_{ca}Q_{bd} + \delta_{bd}Q_{ca} - \delta_{ad}Q_{cb} \tag{B5}$$

$$\left[L_{ab}, P_l^{(\lambda)}{}_{cd}\right] = \delta_{cb}P_l^{(\lambda)}{}_{ad} - \delta_{ca}P_l^{(\lambda)}{}_{bd} + \delta_{bd}P_l^{(\lambda)}{}_{ca} - \delta_{ad}P_l^{(\lambda)}{}_{cb} \tag{B6}$$

$$[L_{ab}, \eta_c] = \eta_a\delta_{bc} - \eta_b\delta_{ac} \tag{B7}$$

$$[L_{ab}, D_c] = D_a\delta_{bc} - D_b\delta_{ac} \tag{B8}$$

$$[D_a, D_b] = \tfrac{1}{a^2}L_{ab} \tag{B9}$$

$$[D_a, \eta_b] = Q_{ab} \tag{B10}$$

$$[D_a, Q_{bc}] = -\tfrac{1}{a^2}\left(Q_{ab}\eta_c + Q_{ac}\eta_b\right) \tag{B11}$$

2. Miscellaneous Identites

$$\eta_a D_b - \eta_b D_a = L_{ab} \tag{B12}$$

$$P_{ab} = (\tfrac{1}{2}L^2)\delta_{ab} + L_{ac}L^c{}_b - (n-1)L_{ab} \tag{B13}$$

$$F_{ab} = L_{ac}L^c{}_b - (n-1)L_{ab} + (n-2)\delta_{ab} \tag{B14}$$

$$\eta_a L^a{}_b = a^2 D^b \tag{B15}$$

$$L^a{}_b\eta^b = n\eta^a - a^2 D^a \tag{B16}$$

$$D_a L^a{}_b = -\tfrac{1}{a^2}\eta_b(\tfrac{1}{2}L^2) + (n-1)D_b \tag{B17}$$

$$\eta_a L_{bc} + \eta_b L_{ca} + \eta_c L_{ab} = 0 \tag{B18}$$

$$D_a L_{bc} + D_b L_{ca} + D_c L_{ab} = 0 \tag{B19}$$

$$L^a{}_b D^b = +\tfrac{1}{a^2}\eta^a(\tfrac{1}{2}L^2) + D^a \tag{B20}$$

$$Q_{ab}L^b{}_c - Q_{cb}L^b{}_a = L_{ac} \tag{B21}$$

$$L_{ab}Q^b{}_c - L_{cb}Q^b{}_a = L_{ac} \tag{B22}$$

$$L^{ab}Q_b{}^cL_c{}^d - L^{db}Q_b{}^cL_c{}^a = 0 \tag{B23}$$

$$L^{ab}Q_b{}^cL_{ca} = -\tfrac{1}{2}L^2 \tag{B24}$$

$$Q^{ab}L_{bc}Q_{ab} = nL_{bc} \tag{B25}$$

3. Matrix Identities

The basic building blocks are the U and V matrices from which all others may be derived.

$$(T_{l\,ab})\left(V_l{}^b\right) = -l\ (V_{l\,a}) \tag{B26}$$

$$(T_{l\,ab})\left(U_l{}^b\right) = (l+n-1)\ (U_{l\,a}) \tag{B27}$$

$$(U_{l+1}{}^a)\,(T_{l\,ab}) = -l\ (U_{l+1\,b}) \tag{B28}$$

$$(V_{l-1}{}^a)\,(T_{l\,ab}) = (l+n-1)\ (V_{l-1\,b}) \tag{B29}$$

$$(V_{l\,a})\,(U_{l+1}{}^a) = -\tfrac{1}{a^2}(l+n-1)(2l+n+1) \tag{B30}$$

$$(U_{l\,a})\,(V_{l-1}{}^a) = -\tfrac{1}{a^2}\,l\,(2l+n-3) \tag{B31}$$

$$(V_{l\,a})\,(V_{l+1}{}^a) = 0 \tag{B32}$$

$$(U_{l\,a})\,(U_{l-1}{}^a) = 0 \tag{B33}$$

$$(V_{l\,a})\,(V_{l+1\,b}) - (V_{l\,b})\,(V_{l+1\,a}) = 0 \tag{B34}$$

$$(U_{l\,a})\,(U_{l-1\,b}) - (U_{l\,b})\,(U_{l-1\,a}) = 0 \tag{B35}$$

$$(V_{l\,a})\,(U_{l+1\,b}) - (V_{l\,b})\,(U_{l+1\,a}) = \tfrac{1}{a^2}(2l+n+1)\ (T_{l\,ab}) \tag{B36}$$

$$(U_{l\,a})\,(V_{l-1\,b}) - (U_{l\,b})\,(V_{l-1\,a}) = -\tfrac{1}{a^2}(2l+n-3)\ (T_{l\,ab}) \tag{B37}$$

$$(T_{l\,ab})\,(V_{l\,c}) - (V_{l\,c})\,(T_{l+1\,ab}) = (V_{l\,a})\,\delta_{bc} - (V_{l\,b})\,\delta_{ac} \tag{B38}$$

$$(T_{l\,ab})\,(U_{l\,c}) - (U_{l\,c})\,(T_{l-1\,ab}) = (U_{l\,a})\,\delta_{bc} - (U_{l\,b})\,\delta_{ac} \tag{B39}$$

$$(T_{l\,ab})\,(V_{l\,c}) + (T_{l\,bc})\,(V_{l\,a}) + (T_{l\,ca})\,(V_{l\,b}) = 0 \tag{B40}$$

$$(T_{l\,ab})\,(U_{l\,c}) + (T_{l\,bc})\,(U_{l\,a}) + (T_{l\,ca})\,(U_{l\,b}) = 0 \tag{B41}$$

(B42)

The Q identities follow from their operator counterparts.

$$\begin{aligned}\left(T_{l\ ab}\right)\left(Q_l^{(\delta)}{}_{cd}\right) - \left(Q_l^{(\delta)}{}_{cd}\right)\left(T_{l+\delta\ ab}\right) &= \delta_{cb}\left(Q_l^{(\delta)}{}_{ad}\right) - \delta_{ca}\left(Q_l^{(\delta)}{}_{bd}\right) \\ &\quad + \delta_{bd}\left(Q_l^{(\delta)}{}_{ca}\right) - \delta_{ad}\left(Q_l^{(\delta)}{}_{cb}\right)\end{aligned} \tag{B43}$$

$$\left(Q_l^{(\delta)}{}_a{}^b\right)\left(T_{l+\delta\ bc}\right) - \left(Q_l^{(\delta)}{}_c{}^b\right)\left(T_{l+\delta\ ba}\right) = \left(T_{l\ ac}\right)\delta_{\delta,0} \tag{B44}$$

$$\left(T_{l\ a}{}^b\right)\left(Q_l^{(\delta)}{}_{bc}\right) - \left(T_{l\ c}{}^b\right)\left(Q_l^{(\delta)}{}_{ba}\right) = \left(T_{l\ ac}\right)\delta_{\delta,0} \tag{B45}$$

$$\left(T_{l\ a}{}^b\right)\left(Q_l^{(\delta)}{}_b{}^c\right)\left(T_{l+\delta\ cd}\right) - \left(T_{l\ d}{}^b\right)\left(Q_l^{(\delta)}{}_b{}^c\right)\left(T_{l+\delta\ ca}\right) = 0 \tag{B46}$$

$$\left(T_{l\ a}{}^b\right)\left(Q_l^{(\delta)}{}_b{}^c\right)\left(T_{l+\delta\ c}{}^a\right) = -\left(\tfrac{1}{2}T_l^2\right)\ \delta_{\delta,0} \tag{B47}$$

$$\left(Q_l^{(\delta)}{}_{ab}\right)\left(T_{l+\delta}{}^{cd}\right)\left(Q_{l+\delta}^{(\delta')\,ab}\right) = n\,\left(T_l{}^{cd}\right)\delta_{\delta,0}\ \delta_{\delta',0} \tag{B48}$$

Expressing $\left(Q_l^{(\delta)}{}_{ab}\right)$ in terms of projection operators and the U and V matrices gives the eigenvalue relations

$$\left(T_{l\ ab}\right)\left(Q_l^{(+2)\,b}{}_c\right) = -l\left(Q_l^{(+2)}{}_{ac}\right) \tag{B49}$$

$$\left(T_{l\ ab}\right)\left(Q_l^{(0)\,b}{}_c\right) = \left(P_l^{(0)}{}_{ac}\right) - \tfrac{l(l+n)}{(2l+n+1)}\left(P_l^{(+1)}{}_{ac}\right) + \tfrac{(l+n-1)(l-1)}{(2l+n-3)}\left(P_l^{(-1)}{}_{ac}\right) \tag{B50}$$

$$= \left(Q_l^{(0)}{}_{ab}\right)\left(T_l{}^b{}_c\right) \tag{B51}$$

$$\left(T_{l\ ab}\right)\left(Q_l^{(-2)\,b}{}_c\right) = (l+n-1)\left(Q_l^{(-2)}{}_{ac}\right) \tag{B52}$$

$$\left(Q_l^{(+2)}{}_{ab}\right)\left(T_{l+2}{}^b{}_c\right) = (l+n+1)\left(Q_l^{(+2)}{}_{ac}\right) \tag{B53}$$

$$\left(Q_l^{(-2)}{}_{ab}\right)\left(T_{l-2}{}^b{}_c\right) = -(l-2)\left(Q_l^{(-2)}{}_{ac}\right) \tag{B54}$$

(B55)

and the product relations

$$\left(Q_l^{(+2)}{}_{ab}\right)\left(Q_{l+2}^{(-2)\,b}{}_c\right) = \tfrac{(l+1)(l+n)}{(2l+n+1)^2}\left(P_l^{(+1)}{}_{ac}\right) \tag{B56}$$

$$\left(Q_l^{(-2)}{}_{ab}\right)\left(Q_{l-2}^{(+2)\,b}{}_c\right) = \tfrac{(l-1)(l+n-2)}{(2l+n-3)^2}\left(P_l^{(-1)}{}_{ac}\right) \tag{B57}$$

$$\left(Q_l^{(0)}{}_{ab}\right)\left(Q_l^{(0)\,b}{}_c\right) = \left(P_l^{(0)}{}_{ac}\right) + \tfrac{(l+n)^2}{(2l+n+1)^2}\left(P_l^{(+1)}{}_{ac}\right) + \tfrac{(l-1)^2}{(2l+n-3)^2}\left(P_l^{(-1)}{}_{ac}\right) \tag{B58}$$

$$\left(Q_l^{(0)}{}_{ab}\right)\left(Q_l^{(+2)\,b}{}_{c}\right) = \frac{(l+n)}{(2l+n+1)}\left(Q_l^{(+2)}{}_{ac}\right) \tag{B59}$$

$$\left(Q_l^{(0)}{}_{ab}\right)\left(Q_l^{(-2)\,b}{}_{c}\right) = \frac{(l-1)}{(2l+n-3)}\left(Q_l^{(-2)}{}_{ac}\right) \tag{B60}$$

$$\left(Q_{l-2}^{(+2)}{}_{ab}\right)\left(Q_l^{(0)\,b}{}_{c}\right) = \frac{(l-1)}{(2l+n-3)}\left(Q_{l-2}^{(+2)}{}_{ac}\right) \tag{B61}$$

$$\left(Q_{l+2}^{(-2)}{}_{ab}\right)\left(Q_l^{(0)\,b}{}_{c}\right) = \frac{(l+n)}{(2l+n+1)}\left(Q_{l+2}^{(-2)}{}_{ac}\right) \tag{B62}$$

$$\left(Q_l^{(+2)}{}_{ab}\right)\left(Q_{l+2}^{(+2)\,b}{}_{c}\right) = 0 \tag{B63}$$

$$\left(Q_l^{(-2)}{}_{ab}\right)\left(Q_{l-2}^{(-2)\,b}{}_{c}\right) = 0. \tag{B64}$$

Since the $\left(\eta_l^{(\sigma)}{}_a\right)$ and $\left(D_l^{(\sigma)}{}_a\right)$ matrices are proportional to the U and V matrices similar identities hold. Those of use here are

$$\left(\eta_l^{(+1)\,a}\right)\left(\eta_{l+1}^{(-1)}{}_a\right) = a^2\,\frac{(l+n-1)}{(2l+n-1)} \tag{B65}$$

$$\left(\eta_l^{(-1)\,a}\right)\left(\eta_{l-1}^{(+1)}{}_a\right) = a^2\,\frac{(l)}{(2l+n-1)} \tag{B66}$$

$$\left(\eta_l^{(+1)\,a}\right)\left(T_{l+1\;bc}\right)\left(\eta_{l+1}^{(-1)}{}_a\right) = a^2\,\frac{(l+n)}{(2l+n-1)}\left(T_{l\;bc}\right) \tag{B67}$$

$$\left(\eta_l^{(-1)\,a}\right)\left(T_{l-1\;bc}\right)\left(\eta_{l-1}^{(+1)}{}_a\right) = a^2\,\frac{(l-1)}{(2l+n-1)}\left(T_{l\;bc}\right) \tag{B68}$$

$$\left(\eta_l^{(+1)\,a}\right)\left(\eta_{l+1}^{(+1)}{}_a\right) = 0 \tag{B69}$$

$$\left(\eta_l^{(-1)\,a}\right)\left(\eta_{l-1}^{(-1)}{}_a\right) = 0 \tag{B70}$$

$$\left(\eta_l^{(+1)\,a}\right)\left(T_{l+1\;bc}\right)\left(\eta_{l+1}^{(+1)}{}_a\right) = 0 \tag{B71}$$

$$\left(\eta_l^{(-1)\,a}\right)\left(T_{l-1\;bc}\right)\left(\eta_{l-1}^{(-1)}{}_a\right) = 0. \tag{B72}$$

Relevant traces are

$$tr\left\{(1)_l\right\} = dim(l,0) \tag{B73}$$

$$tr\left\{\left(P_l^{(+1)\,a}{}_a\right)\right\} = dim(l+1,0) \tag{B74}$$

$$tr\left\{\left(P_l^{(0)\,a}{}_a\right)\right\} = dim(l,1) \tag{B75}$$

$$tr\left\{\left(P_l^{(-1)\,a}{}_a\right)\right\} = dim(l-1,0). \tag{B76}$$

APPENDIX C: EVALUATION OF L-SUMS

Consider a sum of the form

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \sum_l G(l)^2\, l(l+n-1)\, dim(l,0) \\ &= \sum_l \frac{l(l+n-1)}{(l+\frac{n}{2})^2(l+\frac{n}{2}-1)^2}(2l+n-1)\ \frac{\Gamma(l+n-1)}{\Gamma(n)\Gamma(l+1)} \end{aligned} \tag{C1}$$

which arises in the Vacuum Polarization calculation. In order to simplify the calculation, it is convienient to shift the sum so that the term in the denominator $(l+\frac{n}{2}-1)$ becomes $(l+\frac{n}{2}-2) = l + O(\varepsilon)$ eliminating the need to Taylor expand this term. Shifting the sum changes the result by a finite amount which we will ignore. This is useful in general to reduce the number of terms in the denominator which may need to be expanded. Therefore we have for A

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \tfrac{1}{\Gamma(n)} \sum_l \frac{(l-1)(l+n-2)}{(l+\frac{n}{2}-1)^2(l+\frac{n}{2}-2)^2}(2l+n-3)\ \frac{\Gamma(l+n-2)}{\Gamma(l)} \\ &= \tfrac{1}{\Gamma(n)} \sum_l \frac{(l-1)(l+n-2)(l+n-3)(l+n-4)(2l+n-3)}{(l+\frac{n}{2}-1)^2(l+\frac{n}{2}-2)^2}\ \frac{\Gamma(l+n-4)}{\Gamma(l)} \\ &= \tfrac{1}{\Gamma(4-\varepsilon)} \sum_l \frac{(l-1)(l+2-\varepsilon)(l+1-\varepsilon)(l-\varepsilon)(2l+1-\varepsilon)}{(l+1-\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon)^2(l-\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon)^2}\ \frac{\Gamma(l-\varepsilon)}{\Gamma(l)} \\ &= \tfrac{1}{\Gamma(4)} \sum_l \left[\frac{1}{l(l+1)}(l-1)(l+2)(2l+1) + O(\varepsilon)\right] l^{-\varepsilon}\left[1+O(\varepsilon)\right] \\ &= \tfrac{1}{\Gamma(4)} \sum_l \left[1 - \tfrac{1}{l} + \tfrac{1}{l^2}\cdots\right]\left[2l^3+3l^2-3l-2\right] l^{-2-\varepsilon} + O(\varepsilon) \\ &= \tfrac{1}{6}\left[-3-3+2\right]\tfrac{1}{\varepsilon} + \ finite \\ &= -\tfrac{2}{3}\tfrac{1}{\varepsilon} + \ finite \end{aligned} \tag{C2}$$

using

$$\frac{\Gamma(l-\varepsilon)}{\Gamma(l)} = l^{-\varepsilon}\left\{1+\varepsilon\left[\tfrac{1}{2l}+\tfrac{1}{12l^2}-\tfrac{1}{120l^4}\cdots\right]\right\}, \tag{C3}$$

keeping only terms of lowest order in ε and taking $\varepsilon \to 0$ with $\zeta(1+\varepsilon) \sim \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$.

FIGURES

FIG. 1. Vacuum Polarization.

FIG. 2. Fermion Self Energy.

FIG. 3. Vertex Function.

REFERENCES

[1] S.Coleman, Nucl. Phys. **B307**, 867(1988).

[2] S.Coleman, Nucl. Phys. **B310**, 643(1988).

[3] G.M.Shore, Phys. Rev. D**21**, 2226(1980).

[4] B.Grinstein and M.Wise, Phys. Lett. **212B**, 407(1988).

[5] B.Grinstein and C.T.Hill, Phys. Lett. **220B**, 520(1989).

[6] I.T.Drummond, Nucl. Phys. **B94**, 115(1975).

[7] I.T.Drummond and G.M.Shore, Ann. Phys. **117**, 89(1979).

[8] G.M.Shore, Ann. Phys. **117**, 121(1979).

[9] G.M.Shore, Ann. Phys. **128**, 376(1980).

[10] S.L.Adler, Phys. Rev. D**6**, 3445(1972).

[11] C.Itzykson and J.B.Zuber, Quantum Field Theory, ($\mathrm{M^c}$Graw-Hill, 1985).

[12] I.T.Drummond, Phys. Rev. D**19**, 1123(1979).